

Solitons with Isospin

J.F. Gomes¹, G.M. Sotkov^{1,2} and A.H. Zimerman¹

¹ Instituto de Física Teórica - IFT/UNESP
Rua Pamplona 145
01405-900, São Paulo - SP, Brazil

² Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy,
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences,
Tsarigradsko Chausse 72,
BG-1784, Sofia, Bulgaria

ABSTRACT

We study the symmetries of the soliton spectrum of a pair of T-dual integrable models, invariant under global $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ transformations. They represent an integrable perturbation of the reduced Gepner parafermions, based on certain gauged $SL(3)$ - WZW model. Their (semiclassical) topological soliton solutions, carrying isospin and belonging to the root of unity representations of q -deformed $SU(2)_q$ - algebra are obtained. We derive the semiclassical particle spectrum of these models, which is further used to prove their T-duality properties.

1 Introduction

Integrable perturbations of two-dimensional (2-D) conformal field theories (CFT's) are known to describe the off-critical behaviour of a large class of 2-D statistical models near to their second order phase transition [1] (see [2] for review). The corresponding massive integrable models (IM's) have been identified with the abelian affine Toda field theories (ATFT) at some specific value of their coupling constant [3]. Few significant examples are given by (i) $E_l^{(1)}$ - ATFT's for $l = 6, 7, 8$ [4], [5], (ii) Sine-Gordon model as ϕ_{13} perturbations of the Virasoro minimal models (mms) [6] (iii) $A_n^{(1)}$ - ATFT's as perturbations of W_{n+1} - algebra mms [7]. The Lagrangian description of certain integrable deformations of Z_n -parafermionic models (PF) [8], of the WZW models [9], of Gepner PF's [10] and of the V_{n+1} - algebra mms [11] requires however another class of IM's known as non-abelian affine Toda field theories (NA-ATFT) [12],[13]. The two simplest examples of such IM's are the complex sine-Gordon model [14] (and its generalizations [15]) and the $A_2^{(1)}$ -dyonic IM describing integrable perturbations of the $W_3^{(2)}$ and V_3 - algebra mm's [11], [16]. Although both the abelian and non-abelian ATFT's can be realized as integrable deformations of the gauged G/H - WZW models we have to mention few important differences between them, encoded in the different gradations of the underlining affine algebra. The first is that the target space metric $g^{kl}(\varphi_m)$ in the kinetic term of their Lagrangians, i.e. $g^{kl}(\varphi_m)\partial\varphi_k\bar\partial\varphi_l$ is flat for the abelian models and quite nontrivial function of the fields φ_m for the non-abelian ones. The second difference concerns their symmetries: the abelian ATFT's do not have Noether symmetries, while the non-abelian ones present manifest global symmetries, say $U(1)^{\otimes k}$ or $SU(2) \otimes U(1)^{\otimes s}$, etc. [16], [17], [18]. Another important feature of the non-abelian ATFT's is that they always appear in T-dual pairs of IM's, related by specific canonical transformations [19].

The established relation between a large class of perturbed CFT's and certain abelian and non-abelian ATFT's allows to describe the off-critical properties of the corresponding 2-D statistical systems in terms of the quantum ATFT's. While the quantization of the abelian ATFT's is well developed, it is not the case of the non-abelian ATFT's. Their rich symmetry structure together with the complicated counterterms needed in their quantum analysis [20], make the problem of their quantization difficult and rather different from the abelian ATFT's [16], [21]. From the other side, due to their integrability, a set of stable nonperturbative classical solutions (solitons and breathers) of these IM's have been recently constructed [15], [16], [17], [22]. This suggests that one can follow further the semiclassical methods [24] of quantization of these solutions in order to derive their nonperturbative particle spectrum, S-matrices, form-factors, etc. [25], [26].

The aim of the present paper is to study the internal symmetry structure of the soliton solutions of a pair of T-dual NA-ATFT's possessing $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ global symmetry, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{ax} = & -\frac{k}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} (\bar\partial\psi_1\partial\chi_1(1+\psi_2\chi_2) + \bar\partial\psi_2\partial\chi_2(1+\psi_1\chi_1+\psi_2\chi_2) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2}(\psi_2\chi_1\bar\partial\psi_1\partial\chi_2 + \chi_2\psi_1\bar\partial\psi_2\partial\chi_1)) - \mu^2(\psi_1\chi_1 + \psi_2\chi_2) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Delta = (1 + \psi_2\chi_2)^2 + \psi_1\chi_1(1 + \frac{3}{4}\psi_2\chi_2)$ and its T-dual counterpart:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{vec} = & \frac{k}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial A \bar{\partial} B + \partial B \bar{\partial} A}{1 - AB} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial E \bar{\partial} F + \partial F \bar{\partial} E}{1 - EF} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial E \bar{\partial} A + \partial A \bar{\partial} E}{AE} + \frac{\partial E \bar{\partial} E (1 - AB)}{E^2 (1 - EF)} + \mu^2 (AB + EF - 2) \right). \quad (1.2)\end{aligned}$$

They represent an $SL(3)$ generalization of the complex SG model [14] and are related to specific integrable perturbation of the reduced Gepner noncompact PF's [10], based on the coset ¹ $H_- \backslash SL(3, R) / H_+$ for $\beta = i\beta_0$, $\beta_0^2 = \frac{2\pi}{k}$, where $H_{\pm} = \{E_{\pm\alpha_1}, \lambda_1 \cdot H, \lambda_2 \cdot H\}$.

The corresponding CFT's (i.e. (1.1) and (1.2) with $\mu = 0$) and their symmetries are studied in Sect.2. As we have shown in our recent paper [17], these integrable theories represent the simplest example of models invariant under global transformations from the non-abelian q-deformed algebra $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ with $q = \exp(i\beta_0^2)$. Note that the other known NA-ATFT's as Homogeneous Sine-Gordon models [15], $A_n^{(1)}$ -dyonic IM's [16] and the Fateev's IM's [21] admit instead only abelian $U(1)^{\otimes s}$, $s = 1, 2, \dots$ global (or local) symmetries. As a consequence of their unusual symmetry structure, both IM's (1.1) and (1.2) possess semiclassical Bohr-Sommerfeld (BS) topological solitons carrying isospin I , the $U(1)$ charge Q and belonging to certain roots of unity representations $q^{2(k+3)} = 1$ of $SU(2)_q$ -algebra as shown in Sect.4. The detailed description of semiclassical BS - soliton spectrum of both models presented in Sect.4, allows us to establish the relations between their soliton (i.e. strong coupling particles) spectrum, including masses, topological and $U(1)$ charges and isospin imposed by the T-duality transformations. We show that the axial and vector solitons share the same masses, but their topological charges are mapped into the $U(1)$ charge Q and the isospin projection I_3 and vice-versa. This fact completes the proof of the T-duality of such IM's.

Preliminary discussion concerning the symmetries and the construction of soliton solutions of two other integrable models closely related to (1.1), namely the perturbed ungauged $SL(3)$ - WZW model and the off-critical $SL(3)/U(1) \otimes U(1)$ Gepner PF's, is presented in Sect.5. The Appendix contains the detail of the derivation of the actions of the gauged G/H - WZW models studied in Sect.2 and 5. as well as of their conserved currents and the explicit form of the constraints, used in the procedure of Hamiltonian reduction.

2 Gauged $SL(3, R)$ - WZW Models and their massive perturbations

In this section we derive the actions of the axial and vector CFT's, based on the coset " $SL(3, R)/GL(2, R)$ " and study their conformal and internal $SL(2, R) \otimes U(1)$ symmetries. We also introduce all the ingredients necessary for the construction of their integrable perturbations and we further study a specific massive perturbation of both axial and vector CFT's.

¹Since $H_+ \cup H_- = GL(2)$ we will often refer to this coset (for short writing) as " $SL(3)/GL(2)$ "

2.1 Reduced Gepner PF's: axial model

The starting point in the derivation and further investigation of the symmetries of the IM (1.1) is a specific conformal field theory, namely the axial gauged $H_- \backslash SL(3, R)/H_+$ - WZW model. As explained in the Appendix its action can be obtained by the standard methods of refs.[27],[34]:

$$S(g, A, \bar{A})^{G/H} = S_{WZW}(g) - \frac{k}{2\pi} \int dz d\bar{z} \text{Tr}(A \bar{\partial} g g^{-1} + \bar{A} g^{-1} \partial g + A g \bar{A} g^{-1} + A_0 \bar{A}_0) \quad (2.1)$$

where $g \in SL(3, R)$ and $A, \bar{A} \in H_{\pm} = \{E_{\pm\alpha_1}, \lambda_1 \cdot H, \lambda_2 \cdot H\}$. We use a specific Gauss parametrization (A.2), (A.8) and (A.9) of the matrix fields $A, \bar{A}, g$ and g_{ax}^f in terms of the "physical" fields ψ_i, χ_i and R_i introduced in the Appendix. Following the standard procedure [11], and integrating over the auxiliary fields $A, \bar{A}$ we derive the effective Lagrangian of the reduced Gepner parafermions in the form (1.1) with $\mu = 0$. It represents further Hamiltonian reduction of the simplest noncompact Gepner PF's $SL(3, R)/U(1) \otimes U(1)$ by imposing the additional constraints, i.e.

$$J_{\pm\alpha_1}(z) = \bar{J}_{\pm\alpha_1}(\bar{z}) = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

The chiral enhanced conformal symmetries of this reduced CFT are generated as usual (see refs.[11],[33]) by the remaining $SL(3, R)$ currents, namely $J_{\pm\alpha_2}(z), J_{\pm(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}(z)$ and $\bar{J}_{\pm\alpha_2}(\bar{z}), \bar{J}_{\pm(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}(\bar{z})$ (see eqn. (A.13)). These currents can be obtained from the original $SL(3, R)$ -WZW currents (A.13) by imposing the constraints (2.2), together with two additional constraints $J_{\lambda_1 \cdot H} = J_{\lambda_2 \cdot H} = 0$, whose explicit form is given in the Appendix. They indeed appear as conserved currents of the gauged $H_- \backslash SL(3, R)/H_+$ -WZW model. Their specific feature is the presence of nonlocal terms, due to the elimination of the non-physical fields R_i and $\tilde{\psi}_1, \tilde{\chi}_1$ taking into account the constraints (A.16) and (2.10), similarly to the conformal NA -Toda models introduced in ref.[11]. The classical Poisson brackets (PB) algebra of these conserved currents can be obtained by rewriting them in terms of the canonical variables, $\Pi_{\varphi_a}, \varphi_a = (\psi_i, \chi_i)$ and next applying the standard canonical PB's:

$$\{\varphi_a(x), \Pi_{\varphi_b}(y)\} = -\delta_{ab} \delta(x - y) \quad (2.3)$$

An alternative method [11], [33] consists in imposing the constraints (2.2) and (A.15) directly in the $SL(3, R)$ -currents algebra transformations or by evaluating the corresponding Dirac brackets. The result is the following conformal current algebra of parafermionic type:

$$\begin{aligned} \{J_{\pm\beta_i}(\sigma), J_{\pm\beta_j}(\sigma')\} &= \frac{1}{2k^2} \epsilon(\sigma - \sigma') \left(J_{\pm\beta_i}(\sigma) J_{\pm\beta_j}(\sigma') + J_{\pm\beta_j}(\sigma) J_{\pm\beta_i}(\sigma') \right), \\ \{J_{-\beta_i}(\sigma), J_{\beta_j}(\sigma')\} &= -\frac{1}{2k^2} \epsilon(\sigma - \sigma') \left((\beta_i \cdot \beta_j) J_{-\beta_i}(\sigma) J_{\beta_j}(\sigma') + \delta_{ij} J_{-\beta_{s(i)}}(\sigma) J_{\beta_{s(j)}}(\sigma') \right) \\ &+ \delta_{ij} \partial_{\sigma'} \delta(\sigma - \sigma') \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\epsilon(\sigma - \sigma')$ is the sign function, $\beta_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and $\beta_2 = \alpha_2$, $(\beta_1^2 = \beta_2^2 = 2, \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2 = 1)$ denote the $SL(3, R)$ roots and $s(1) = 2, s(2) = 1$. In order to obtain the complete chiral

conformal PF - like algebra of symmetries of the gauged WZW-model we have to add to eqns. (2.4) the PB's of the stress tensor

$$T = \frac{1}{k} (J_{\beta_1} J_{-\beta_1} + J_{\beta_2} J_{-\beta_2})$$

and its PB's with the currents $J_{\pm\beta_i}$ of dimension $\Delta_{cl}(J_{\pm\beta_i}) = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \{T(\sigma), T(\sigma')\} &= 2T(\sigma')\partial_{\sigma'}\delta(\sigma - \sigma') + \partial_{\sigma'}T(\sigma')\delta(\sigma - \sigma') - \frac{k^2}{2}\partial_{\sigma'}^3\delta(\sigma - \sigma'), \\ \{T(\sigma), J_{\pm\beta_i}(\sigma')\} &= J_{\pm\beta_i}(\sigma')\partial_{\sigma'}\delta(\sigma - \sigma') + \partial_{\sigma'}J_{\pm\beta_i}(\sigma')\delta(\sigma - \sigma') \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

It is worthwhile to note that similar to the PF- and V_3 - algebras (see eqns. (32)-(35) of ref [23] and Sect. 3 of ref. [38]) the above PB's algebra can be considered as semiclassical limit ($k \rightarrow \infty$ and an appropriate rescaling of the currents) of the reduced Gepner's PF's OPE algebra [10] of central charge $c = \frac{4(k-3)}{k+3}$. An important feature of the quantization of the PB algebra (2.4) and (2.3) of the nonlocal currents $J_{\pm\alpha_1}, J_{\pm(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}$ (A.13) is that their dimensions $\Delta_{cl}(J) = 1$ are renormalized as follow:

$$\Delta_1(J_{\pm\alpha_2}) = 1 - \frac{1}{k}, \quad \Delta_2(J_{\pm(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}) = 1 + \frac{k-1}{k} \quad (2.6)$$

Also the PB's (2.4) and (2.5) should be replaced by the corresponding OPE relations. Details concerning this enhanced conformal current OPE - algebra and its highest weight representations will be presented elsewhere.

The chiral conformal PF - type algebra defined by eqns.(2.4) and (2.5) does not exhaust all the symmetries of the CFT model (1.1) (with $\mu = 0$). It is also invariant under the action of an additional four parametric set of global transformations. Its abelian subgroup consists of the following $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_1 &= e^{\beta(p_1+p_2)}\psi_1, & \chi'_1 &= e^{-\beta(p_1+p_2)}\chi_1, \\ \psi'_2 &= e^{\beta(-p_1+2p_2)}\psi_2, & \chi'_2 &= e^{-\beta(-p_1+2p_2)}\chi_2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where p_i are arbitrary constants. The corresponding conserved currents ($\bar{\partial}I_i = \partial\bar{I}_i$) have the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^2 I_1 &= \frac{\psi_1 \partial \chi_1}{\Delta} (1 + \frac{3}{2} \psi_2 \chi_2) - \frac{\psi_2 \partial \chi_2}{\Delta} (\Delta_2 + \frac{3}{2} \psi_1 \chi_1), \\ \beta^2 I_2 &= \frac{\psi_1 \partial \chi_1}{\Delta} + \frac{\psi_2 \partial \chi_2}{\Delta} (2\Delta_2 + \frac{3}{2} \psi_1 \chi_1), \\ \bar{I}_i &= I_i(\partial \rightarrow \bar{\partial}, \psi_i \leftrightarrow \chi_i), \quad \Delta_2 = 1 + \psi_2 \chi_2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

It is important to note that the constraints equations (A.16) allows us to relate I_i^μ to the topological currents of the ungauged WZW model

$$I_i^\mu \equiv \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \beta \partial_\nu R_i, \quad 2I = I_0 + I_1, \quad 2\bar{I} = I_0 - I_1 \quad (2.9)$$

The question arises whether the other two constraints $J_{\pm\alpha_1} = \bar{J}_{\pm\alpha_1} = 0$ give rise to new conserved currents too. In order to answer it, we first rewrite them in the following convenient form (see (A.13)):

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta^2 I_- &= \frac{\psi_2}{\Delta} \left(\partial \chi_1 \Delta_2 - \frac{1}{2} \chi_1 \psi_2 \partial \chi_2 \right) e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} R_1} \equiv \partial \tilde{\chi}, \\
\beta^2 I_+ &= \frac{\psi_1}{\Delta} \left(\partial \chi_2 (1 + \psi_1 \chi_1 + \psi_2 \chi_2) - \frac{1}{2} \chi_2 \psi_1 \partial \chi_1 \right) e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} R_1} \equiv \partial \tilde{\psi}, \\
\beta^2 \bar{I}_- &= \frac{\chi_1}{\Delta} \left(\bar{\partial} \psi_2 (1 + \psi_1 \chi_1 + \psi_2 \chi_2) - \frac{1}{2} \chi_1 \psi_2 \bar{\partial} \psi_1 \right) e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} R_1} \equiv \bar{\partial} \tilde{\chi}, \\
\beta^2 \bar{I}_+ &= \frac{\chi_2}{\Delta} \left(\bar{\partial} \psi_1 \Delta_2 - \frac{1}{2} \chi_2 \psi_1 \bar{\partial} \psi_2 \right) e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} R_1} \equiv \bar{\partial} \tilde{\psi},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Their conservation can be easily verified by using the equations of motion of (1.1) (with $\mu = 0$) and eqns. (2.8),(2.9), i.e. we conclude that $\bar{\partial} I_{\pm} = \partial \bar{I}_{\pm}$. Hence the above nonlocal currents $I_{\pm}^{\mu}$ indeed generate new symmetries of the gauged " $SL(3, R)/GL(2)$ " -WZW model. In order to derive the algebra of the corresponding conserved charges

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_l &= -\frac{i}{\beta} \int \partial_x R_l dx = \int I_l^0 dx, \\
Q_+ &= -\frac{k}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} \int \partial_x \tilde{\psi} dx = -\frac{k}{2\pi} \int I_+^0 dx, \\
Q_- &= -\frac{k}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} \int \partial_x \tilde{\chi} dx = -\frac{k}{2\pi} \int I_-^0 dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where $I_{\pm}^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{I}_{\pm} + I_{\pm})$, as well as the infinitesimal fields transformations:

$$\delta_{\pm} \psi_i = \{Q_{\pm}, \psi_i\} \epsilon_{\pm}$$

we follow the procedure described above (see also ref.[17]), i.e. we first realize the currents $I_{\pm,i}^{\mu}$ in terms of the canonical momenta $\Pi_{\psi_i} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_0 \psi_i}$ (and the same for Π_{χ_i}) and next calculate their PB's by using the canonical PB's (2.3):

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1^0 &= -\frac{2\pi}{k} (\psi_1 \Pi_{\psi_1} - \psi_2 \Pi_{\psi_2} - \chi_1 \Pi_{\chi_1} + \chi_2 \Pi_{\chi_2}), \\
I_2^0 &= -\frac{2\pi}{k} (\psi_1 \Pi_{\psi_1} + 2\psi_2 \Pi_{\psi_2} - \chi_1 \Pi_{\chi_1} - 2\chi_2 \Pi_{\chi_2}), \\
I_+^0 &= -\frac{2\pi}{k} (\psi_2 \Pi_{\psi_1} - \chi_1 \Pi_{\chi_2}) e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} R_1}, \\
I_-^0 &= -\frac{2\pi}{k} (\psi_1 \Pi_{\psi_2} - \chi_2 \Pi_{\chi_1}) e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} R_1}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$R_1(x) = -\frac{2\pi}{k} \int \epsilon(x-y) (\psi_1 \Pi_{\psi_1} - \psi_2 \Pi_{\psi_2} - \chi_1 \Pi_{\chi_1} + \chi_2 \Pi_{\chi_2}) dy$$

The transformations generated by abelian charges Q_i are an infinitesimal form of eqs.(2.7):

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_1 \chi_1 &= -\chi_1 \epsilon_1, & \delta_1 \psi_1 &= \psi_1 \epsilon_1, & \delta_1 \psi_2 &= -\psi_2 \epsilon_1, & \delta_1 \chi_2 &= \chi_2 \epsilon_1, \\ \delta_2 \chi_1 &= -\chi_1 \epsilon_2, & \delta_2 \psi_1 &= \psi_1 \epsilon_2, & \delta_2 \chi_2 &= -2\chi_2 \epsilon_2, & \delta_2 \psi_2 &= 2\psi_2 \epsilon_2\end{aligned}\quad (2.13)$$

while the charges $Q_\pm$ leads to the following *nonlocal* field transformations

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_+ \chi_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\chi_2 e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} R_1} + \frac{1}{2} \chi_1 \tilde{\psi}_1 \right) \epsilon_+, & \delta_+ \psi_1 &= -\frac{1}{4} \psi_1 \tilde{\psi}_1 \epsilon_+, \\ \delta_+ \chi_2 &= -\frac{1}{4} \chi_2 \tilde{\psi}_1 \epsilon_+, & \delta_+ \psi_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_1 e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} R_1} - \frac{1}{2} \psi_2 \tilde{\psi}_1 \right) \epsilon_+\end{aligned}\quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_- \chi_1 &= \frac{1}{4} \chi_1 \tilde{\chi}_1 \epsilon_-, & \delta_- \psi_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_2 e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} R_1} + \frac{1}{2} \psi_1 \tilde{\chi}_1 \right) \epsilon_-, \\ \delta_- \chi_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\chi_1 e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} R_1} - \frac{1}{2} \chi_2 \tilde{\chi}_1 \right) \epsilon_-, & \delta_- \psi_2 &= \frac{1}{4} \psi_2 \tilde{\chi}_1 \epsilon_-\end{aligned}\quad (2.15)$$

where $\epsilon_i = \delta \alpha_i$ and $\epsilon_\pm$ are arbitrary parameters. One can check by inspection (and using (2.10), (2.9)) that transformations (2.14) and (2.15) indeed leave invariant the equations of motion of the gauged WZW model.

Finally, the PB algebra of charges $Q_\pm, Q_i$ takes the form:

$$\{Q_1, Q_\pm\} = \pm 2Q_\pm, \quad \{Q_2, Q_\pm\} = \mp Q_\pm, \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$\{Q_+, Q_-\} = - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \partial_x e^{-\beta R_1} dx \quad (2.17)$$

In order to identify the above algebra with a specific q - deformed algebra, we will express the r.h.s. of (2.17) in terms of Q_1 only. By noting that

$$Q_l = -\frac{i}{\beta} (R_l^+ - R_l^-), \quad R_l^\pm = R_l(\pm\infty)$$

and next taking into account the following PB's

$$\{R_1(x), \partial_y \tilde{\chi}_1(y)\} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon(x-y) \partial_y \tilde{\chi}_1(y), \quad \{R_1(x), \partial_y \tilde{\psi}_1(y)\} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon(x-y) \partial_y \tilde{\psi}_1(y).$$

we find that in the limit $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ the sum $R_1^+ + R_1^-$ has vanishing PB's with $Q_\pm$, i.e.

$$\{R_1^+ + R_1^-, \partial_y \tilde{\chi}_1(y)\} = \{R_1^+ + R_1^-, \partial_y \tilde{\psi}_1(y)\} = 0$$

The above property, together with the following rescaling of $Q_\pm$,

$$E_1 = \frac{e^{\frac{\beta}{4}(R_1^+ + R_1^-)}}{(q - q^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}} Q_+, \quad F_1 = \frac{e^{\frac{\beta}{4}(R_1^+ + R_1^-)}}{(q - q^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}} Q_-, \quad q = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{k}} = e^{i\beta_0^2} \quad (2.18)$$

allows us to rewrite the PB's (2.17) in the well known form [29] of the $SL(2)_q$ canonical PB's relations,

$$\{E_1, F_1\} = \frac{q^{I_3} - q^{-I_3}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad (2.19)$$

Hence $E_1, F_1, Q_1 = 2I_3$ and $Q = \frac{1}{3}(Q_1 + 2Q_2)$ close into the $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ PB algebra. Our conclusion about the symmetries of the gauged WZW-model in consideration is that we have two chiral (left and right) conformal Parafermion - like algebras (2.4) and (2.5) together with the (nonchiral) $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ algebra (2.16) and (2.19). An important question concerning the $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ symmetries of our model is whether exists solutions of the corresponding gauged WZW equations of motion that carries nonvanishing charges Q_1 and Q_2 , otherwise the algebra (2.19) becomes trivial abelian algebra. Since we are interested in the integrable perturbations (1.1) (with $\mu \neq 0$) that preserves the $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ symmetry, we postpone the answer to this question to Sect.3, where such Q_1 and Q_2 charged solutions are explicitly constructed.

2.2 T-dual CFT: vector model

Following the procedure described in the Appendix we derive the Lagrangian of the vector gauged $H_- \backslash SL(3, R) / H_+$ -WZW model in the form (see ref. [19] for details):

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{2\pi}{k} \mathcal{L}_{vec}^{CFT} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \eta_{ij} \partial \phi_i \bar{\partial} \phi_j + \frac{\partial \phi_1 \bar{\partial} \phi_1}{t_1^2} e^{-\phi_1 - \phi_2} + \bar{\partial} \phi_1 \partial \ln(t_1) + \partial \phi_1 \bar{\partial} \ln(t_1) \\ &- \partial \phi_1 \bar{\partial} \phi_1 \left(\frac{t_2}{t_1} \right)^2 e^{-2\phi_1 + \phi_2} + \frac{\bar{\partial}(\phi_2 - \phi_1) \partial(\phi_2 - \phi_1)}{t_2^2} e^{\phi_1 - 2\phi_2} \\ &+ \bar{\partial}(\phi_2 - \phi_1) \partial \ln(t_2) + \partial(\phi_2 - \phi_1) \bar{\partial} \ln(t_2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where $\eta_{ij} = 2\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j-1}$. As it is shown in ref. [19] (see also refs.[30] and [31] for other examples of T-dual gauged WZW - models) the axial CFT given by (1.1) with $\mu = 0$ and the vector CFT (2.20) are T-dual by construction. The global $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ symmetry (i.e. the presence of two isometric directions) of both models is known to be crucial for their abelian T-duality. For the axial CFT (1.1) they are represented by the fields $\Theta_i = \ln \left(\frac{\psi_i}{\chi_i} \right)$, i.e. rewriting eqn. (1.1) in the σ -model form:

$$\left(g^{kl}(\varphi) \eta^{\mu\nu} + B^{kl}(\varphi) \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \right) \partial_\mu \varphi_k \partial_\nu \varphi_l$$

the corresponding target space metric $g^{kl}(\varphi)$ and the antisymmetric tensor $B^{kl}(\varphi)$ are *independent* of Θ_i . In order to make evident the isometries of the vector CFT (2.20) it is convenient to change the variables t_i and ϕ_i to the following new fields A, B, E and F :

$$\begin{aligned} A &= e^{\beta(\phi_2 - \phi_1)}, \quad B = A^{-1} \left(1 - t_2^2 e^{\beta(2\phi_2 - \phi_1)} \right), \\ E &= e^{\beta\phi_1}, \quad F = E^{-1} \left(1 - t_1^2 e^{\beta(\phi_1 + \phi_2)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Then its Lagrangian (2.20) takes the following simple form:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{vec}^{CFT} &= \frac{k}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial A \bar{\partial} B + \partial B \bar{\partial} A}{1 - AB} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial E \bar{\partial} F + \partial F \bar{\partial} E}{1 - EF} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2AE} (\partial A \bar{\partial} E + \partial E \bar{\partial} A) + \frac{\partial E \bar{\partial} E (AB - 1)}{E^2 (EF - 1)} \right)\end{aligned}\quad (2.22)$$

Now we can choose as isometric coordinates the following fields

$$\tilde{\Theta}_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \ln A, \quad \tilde{\Theta}_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \ln E, \quad (2.23)$$

In this context T-duality transformations relating $\mathcal{L}_{vec}^{CFT}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{ax}^{CFT}$ can be realized as specific canonical (field) transformation $(\Pi_{\tilde{\Theta}_i}, \tilde{\Theta}_i) \rightarrow (\Pi_{\Theta_i}, \Theta_i)$ [31], [19]:

$$\Pi_{\tilde{\Theta}_i} = -2\partial_x \Theta_i, \quad \Pi_{\Theta_i} = -2\partial_x \tilde{\Theta}_i \quad (2.24)$$

while the remaining fields (say, $f_1 = AB, f_2 = EF, a_1 = \psi_1 \chi_1, a_2 = \psi_2 \chi_2$) and their canonical momenta remain unchanged. As a consequence the Hamiltonians of the axial and vector CFT's are identical, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_{vec} = \mathcal{H}_{ax}$, whereas their Lagrangians differ by a total derivative term [19], [31]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{vec}^{CFT}(\tilde{\Theta}_i, f_i) = \mathcal{L}_{ax}^{CFT}(\Theta_i, a_i) - \tilde{\Theta}_i(\partial \bar{P}_i - \bar{\partial} P_i), \quad (2.25)$$

where we denote $P_i = \partial \Theta_i, \bar{P}_i = \bar{\partial} \Theta_i$ and $\Theta_i = \ln(\frac{\psi_i}{\chi_i})$. The second term in (2.25) is nothing but the contribution of the generating function $\mathcal{F} \sim \sum_{i=1}^2 \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Theta_i \partial_\nu \tilde{\Theta}_i$ of the canonical transformation (2.24). Finally, by applying the Buscher's procedure [32] (i.e. taking the Gaussian integral over $P_i, \bar{P}_i$) one derives the vector CFT $\mathcal{L}_{vec}^{CFT}$ (2.22) starting from the axial one (see ref. [19] for details).

Next question to be answered is about the symmetries of the $H_- \backslash SL(3, R) / H_+$ - WZW vector gauged model, given by the Lagrangian (2.22). Since by definition T-duality acts as canonical transformation (2.24) it has to preserve the corresponding PB's structures [31], [19]. Therefore the CFT (2.22) which is T-dual to the axial CFT (1.1) ($\mu = 0$) shares the same PB's algebra of chiral conformal symmetries (2.4) and (2.5) as well as the global $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ given by eqns. (2.16) and (2.17). One can verify such a statement by direct calculation applying the procedure developed in Sect. 2.1 for the derivation of the complete algebra of symmetries of the axial CFT. However there exists an important difference in the structure of the global $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ - algebra for the axial and vector CFT's. Namely, as we have shown for the axial model, the abelian subgroup $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ is generated by the charges $Q = \frac{1}{3}(Q_1 + 2Q_2)$ and $I_3 = \frac{1}{2}Q_1$ of the Noether $U(1)$ - currents (2.8) giving rise to the global transformations (2.7). Instead, for the vector model we have:

$$\{Q_+, Q_-\} = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \partial_x \left(\frac{A}{E} \right), \quad Q = -\frac{i}{\beta^2} \int \partial_x \ln A E, \quad I_3 = -\frac{i}{2\beta^2} \int \partial_x \ln \frac{E}{A}, \quad (2.26)$$

and therefore the abelian subalgebra of the entire algebra of symmetries $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ of the vector model is spanned by the *topological charges* of the isometric fields $\Theta_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\ln E$ and $\tilde{\Theta}_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\ln A$, defined as usualy

$$Q_{vec}^E = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_x \ln E \, dx, \quad Q_{vec}^A = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_x \ln A \, dx$$

They are indeed different from the Noether charges of the global $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ symmetries of $\mathcal{L}_{vec}$,

$$A' = e^{\beta p_1} A, \quad B' = e^{-\beta p_1} B, \quad E' = e^{\beta p_2} E, \quad F' = e^{-\beta p_2} F \quad (2.27)$$

which give rise to the following nonchiral $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ currents of the vector model:

$$\begin{aligned} J_2^{vec} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{A\partial B - B\partial A}{AB - 1} - \partial \ln E \right), \\ \bar{J}_2^{vec} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{B\bar{\partial} A - A\bar{\partial} B}{AB - 1} + \bar{\partial} \ln E \right), \\ J_1^{vec} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{E\partial F - F\partial E}{EF - 1} - 2 \frac{(AB - 1)\partial E}{E(EF - 1)} - \partial \ln A \right), \\ \bar{J}_1^{vec} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{F\bar{\partial} E - E\bar{\partial} F}{EF - 1} + 2 \frac{(AB - 1)\bar{\partial} E}{E(EF - 1)} + \bar{\partial} \ln A \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

This phenomena is a consequence of the main property of the abelian T-duality transformations (2.24), namely, it maps the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ Noether charges $Q_i^{ax} = \int I_{0,i}^{ax} dx$ of the axial model into the topological charges $Q_{i,vec}^{top} = \int \partial_x \tilde{\Theta}_i dx$ (those related to the isometric fields only) and vice-versa: the topological charges $Q_{i,ax}^{top} = \int \partial_x \Theta_i dx$ (of the axial isometric fields) to the Noether charges $Q_i^{vec} = \frac{1}{2} \int (J_i^{vec} - \bar{J}_i^{vec}) dx$ of the vector model. The first relation is encoded in eqns. (2.8), (2.9) and (A.16) and the identification

$$-2\tilde{\Theta}_2 = \frac{1}{3}(R_2 - R_1), \quad -2\tilde{\Theta}_1 = \frac{1}{3}(R_2 + 2R_1) \quad (2.29)$$

The second relation is based on the following identities:

$$\partial \Theta_1 = 2J_1^{vec}, \quad \partial \Theta_2 = 2J_2^{vec}, \quad \bar{\partial} \Theta_1 = 2\bar{J}_1^{vec}, \quad \bar{\partial} \Theta_2 = 2\bar{J}_2^{vec} \quad (2.30)$$

which are consequence of eqn. (A.16) and of the explicit (nonlocal) realization (3.15) of the vector model fields A, B, E, F in terms of the axial model ones.

It should be noted that topological charges can be defined for certain "non-isometric" fields too(see [16] for relevant examples), that have properties of "angular" variables. Since T-duality keeps unchanged these fields, the corresponding "non-isometric" topological charges of axial and vector models do coincide. Indeed we can have nontrivial charges only for the fields that have nonvanishing (and different) asymptotics at $x = \pm\infty$. As we shall show in Sect.4.2. below this is the case of the axial model fields $s_1 = \ln \frac{\psi_2}{\psi_1}$ and $s_2 = \ln \frac{\chi_2}{\chi_1}$

and also of their composite $\beta r = \ln \left(\frac{\psi_1 \chi_1}{\psi_2 \chi_2} \right)$. The new fields s_l represent the angular part ² ψ_l and χ_l , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &= e^{\frac{s_1}{2}} t_1, & \psi_2 &= e^{-\frac{s_1}{2}} t_1, & \chi_1 &= e^{\frac{s_2}{2}} t_2, & \chi_2 &= e^{-\frac{s_2}{2}} t_2 \\ s_1 &= \ln r_1 = \ln \frac{\psi_2}{\psi_1}, & s_2 &= \ln r_2 = \ln \frac{\chi_2}{\chi_1}, & t_1 &= \sqrt{\psi_1 \psi_2}, & t_2 &= \sqrt{\chi_1 \chi_2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

It turns out that the one-soliton solutions of the axial model (1.1) give rise to nonzero "non-isometric" topological charges $Q_{ax,1}^{top}$, $Q_{ax,2}^{top}$ and $Q_{ax}^{top} = Q_{ax,1}^{top} + Q_{ax,2}^{top}$, where we have introduced the topological charges related to the fields s_l as $Q_{ax,l}^{top} = -i \int \partial_x \ln(r_l) dx$. The nonisometric topological charge Q_{ax}^{top} is indeed equal to the corresponding topological charge of the vector model

$$Q_{vec}^{top} = i \int \partial_x \ln \frac{EF - 1}{AB - 1} dx.$$

The discussion of their origin, as well as of the spectrum of these charges for the one-soliton solutions is presented in sect.4 below.

It is important to mention in conclusion that the complete algebra of symmetries of the vector model

$$SL(2)_q \otimes U(1) \otimes (U(1) \otimes U(1))^{Noether} \quad (2.32)$$

contains the $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ algebra having as Cartan generators the topological charges Q_{vec}^E and Q_{vec}^A , while for the axial model the same algebra contains as Cartan generators the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ Noether charges $I_3 = \frac{1}{2} Q_1$ and $Q = \frac{1}{3} (Q_1 + 2Q_2)$. This is a consequence of the T-duality of the models that relates the isometric topological charges of the vector model to the Noether $U(1)$ charges of the axial one.

2.3 Perturbed CFT model

The off-critical behaviour of 2-D CFT's is usually described by adding certain relevant operators (i.e., combinations of products of chiral conformal fields of dimension $\Delta < 1$) to their CFT's Lagrangians [1]. We are interested in massive perturbations of the axial CFT (1.1) ($\mu = 0$) (introduced in Sect.2.1), which are (i) *integrable* and (ii) *preserving* the global $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ symmetry. Similar to the standard perturbations [9], [18] of G-WZW model :

$$\mathcal{L}^{IM}(g) = \mathcal{L}^{WZW}(g) + f_1^{ab} Tr \left(g T_a g^{-1} T_b \right) - f_2^{ab} Tr (T_a T_b)$$

(where T_a are the generators of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$) we consider the following perturbation to the Lagrangian of the gauged $H_- \backslash SL(3, R) / H_+$ -WZW model

$$\begin{aligned} V_{ax} &= Tr \left(g_{0,ax}^f \epsilon_- (g_{0,ax}^f)^{-1} \epsilon_+ \right) - Tr (\epsilon_+ \epsilon_-), \\ \epsilon_{\pm} &= \mu \lambda_2 \cdot H^{(\pm 1)} = \frac{\mu}{3} \left(h_1^{(\pm 1)} + 2h_2^{(\pm 1)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

²observe that the angular part of the fields of the fields ψ_l and χ_l belonging to the coset $H_- \backslash SL(3, R) / H_+$ is related to the Cartan torus fields R_i as one can see from the eq.(A.10).

where λ_i is the i^{th} fundamental weight of A_2 and h_1 and h_2 denote its Cartan subalgebra generators. The factor group element $g_{0,ax}^f$ is parametrized as in eqn. (A.9). The above choice is dictated by the requirement

$$[\epsilon_{\pm}, h] = 0, \quad h \in GL(2) = \{E_{\pm\alpha_1}, h_1, h_2\}$$

which guarantees the invariance of the potential

$$V_{ax} = \mu^2 (\psi_1 \chi_1 + \psi_2 \chi_2) \quad (2.34)$$

under nonlocal field transformations (2.14),(2.15) and the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ ones given by (2.7) as well), i.e.,

$$\delta_{\pm} V_{ax} = \delta_i V_{ax} = 0 \quad (2.35)$$

Therefore, the perturbation (2.34) indeed preserves the $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ symmetries of the original $\mathcal{L}_{ax}^{CFT}$ (1.1).

An important question to be answered is about the integrability of the above perturbation. Here we shall briefly remind the method for construction of integrable perturbed CFT's known as Hamiltonian reduction of the two-loop $\hat{G}$ -WZW model [35],[16]. To this aim one first consider, instead of the standard finite dimensional A_2 - WZW model, its infinite dimensional analog [35] based on the affine Kac-Moody algebra $A_2^{(1)}$ and described formally by the same WZW action but with group element $\hat{g}$ parametrized by an infinite number of fields. We next define the negative/positive grade subalgebras $\mathcal{G}_{<}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{>}$, according to the appropriately chosen grading operator $\mathcal{Q} = \hat{d}$, (i.e. homogeneous gradation)

$$[\hat{d}, E_{\pm\alpha}^{(l)}] = l E_{\pm\alpha}^{(l)}, \quad [\hat{d}, H_i^{(l)}] = l H_i^{(l)}, \quad (2.36)$$

This provides $A_2^{(1)}$ with a natural Gauss decomposition

$$\hat{G} = H_{<} G_0 H_{>}, \quad i.e., \quad \hat{g} = N g_0 M \quad (2.37)$$

where the zero grade subgroup $G_0 = H_{<} \backslash \hat{G} / H_{>}$ is finite dimensional and in the case of $A_2^{(1)}$ is simply $SL(3, R)$ (i.e., g_0 is parametrized by eight fields, namely, $\tilde{\psi}_a, \tilde{\chi}_a, R_i$, $a = 1, 2, 3$, $i = 1, 2$ as discussed in (A.8)). As it well known the action of such gauged $G_0 = H_{<} \backslash \hat{G} / H_{>}$ - WZW model

$$\begin{aligned} S_{G_0}(\hat{g}, A, \bar{A}) &= S_{WZW}(g_0) \\ &- \frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2x Tr \left(A(\bar{\partial}\hat{g}\hat{g}^{-1} - \epsilon_+) + \bar{A}(\hat{g}^{-1}\partial\hat{g} - \epsilon_-) + A\hat{g}\bar{A}\hat{g}^{-1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

where $A \in H_{<}$, $\bar{A} \in H_{>}$, $g_0 \in G_0$ defines by construction an integrable model characterized by the choice of homogeneous gradation $\mathcal{Q} = d$ and by the constant elements $\epsilon_{\pm}$ of grade ± 1 (see also [17]). In general each linear combination of $E_{\pm\beta}^{(\pm 1)}$ and $h_i^{(\pm 1)}$ (or $\lambda_i \cdot H^{(\pm 1)}$) leads to different integrable models, characterized by the subgroup $G_0^0 \subset G_0$ such that $\mathcal{G}_0^0 = \{X_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0\}$

$$[\epsilon_{\pm}, X_0] = 0$$

whose structure, in fact, determines the symmetries of the corresponding IM. The axial IM (1.1) is defined by the choice

$$\epsilon_{\pm} = \mu \lambda_2 \cdot H^{(\pm 1)} = \frac{\mu}{3} (h_1^{(\pm 1)} + 2h_2^{(\pm 1)}) \quad (2.39)$$

and we have $\mathcal{G}_0^0 = \{E_{\pm\alpha_1}^{(0)}, h_1^{(0)}, h_2^{(0)}\}$. Its action is obtained by integrating out the auxiliary fields A and $\bar{A}$ in the partition function,

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}\bar{A} \mathcal{D}g_0 e^{-S_{G_0}}$$

As a result we obtain

$$S_{eff}(g_0) = S_{WZW}^{G_0}(g_0) - \frac{k}{2\pi} \int Tr \left(\epsilon_+ g_0 \epsilon_- g_0^{-1} \right) d^2x \quad (2.40)$$

It represents an integrable perturbation of the $G_0 = SL(3, R)$ - WZW model, which is invariant under chiral $G_0^0 = SL(2) \otimes U(1)$ transformations. Note that each specific choice of $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\epsilon_{\pm}$ leads to IM (2.40) with different residual symmetries $G_0^0 \otimes G_0^0$, i.e. $S_{eff}(g_0)$ is invariant under chiral transformations :

$$g'_0 = \bar{\Omega}(\bar{z}) g_0 \Omega(z)$$

where $\bar{\Omega}, \Omega \in G_0^0$. Taking into account the corresponding equations of motion (derived from (2.40)),

$$\bar{\partial} \left(g_0^{-1} \partial g_0 \right) + [\epsilon_-, g_0^{-1} \epsilon_+ g_0] = 0, \quad \partial \left(\bar{\partial} g_0 g_0^{-1} \right) - [\epsilon_+, g_0 \epsilon_- g_0^{-1}] = 0 \quad (2.41)$$

we conclude that the chiral conserved currents associated to each element $\delta_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0^0$ are given by

$$J_{\delta_0} = Tr \left(\delta_0 g_0^{-1} \partial g_0 \right), \quad \bar{J}_{\delta_0} = Tr \left(\delta_0 \bar{\partial} g_0 g_0^{-1} \right), \quad \bar{\partial} J_{\delta_0} = \partial \bar{J}_{\delta_0} = 0 \quad (2.42)$$

It is worth to mention that although $S_{eff}^{G_0}$ in (2.40) is invariant under chiral $G_0^0 \otimes G_0^0$ transformations it is not conformal invariant, due to the second term in its action (2.40).

The second step in the construction of the IM representing integrable perturbation of the *reduced* Gepner PF's CFT (considered in Sect. 2.1) consists in further reduction of the IM (2.40) by imposing the following set of additional constraints:

$$J_{\delta_0} = \bar{J}_{\delta_0} = 0, \quad \delta_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0^0 \quad (2.43)$$

This is equivalent of reducing the IM defined on the group $G_0 = SL(3, R)$ to the gauged IM defined on the coset G_0/G_0^0 with $\mathcal{G}_0^0 = \{E_{\pm\alpha_1}^{(0)}, h_1^{(0)}, h_2^{(0)}\}$. The standard procedure [17], [19] of realizing this gauge fixing of the chiral $G_0^0 \otimes G_0^0$ symmetries is almost identical to the construction of (axial or vector) gauged G/H - WZW models summarized in the Appendix, but now repeated for the perturbed G_0 - WZW model (2.40).

Our next comment is about the symmetries of the IM's (2.40), (1.1) and (1.2). As we have shown (see [17] for details) the perturbed CFT (2.40) has chiral $SL(2, R) \otimes U(1)$ symmetries.

We have obtained the IM's (1.1) and (1.2) by gauge fixing these chiral symmetries. The question to be answered is about the residual symmetries of the IM's model (1.1) and (1.2). We have shown in Sect. 2.1 that the axial CFT (i.e., $\mu = 0$ limit of IM (1.1)) is invariant under global, but nonlocal (in the fields $\psi_i, \chi_i, i = 1, 2$) transformations (2.14) and (2.15) as well as under standard global $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ transformations (2.13). Since our specific choice of the $\epsilon_{\pm}$ (2.39) reproduces the perturbation of this CFT by $V_{ax} = \mu^2(\psi_1\chi_1 + \psi_2\chi_2)$ (or the vector CFT by $V_{vec} = -\mu^2(AB + EF - 2)$) that have been shown (see eqn. (2.35)) to be invariant under (2.14), (2.15) and (2.13), then the corresponding IM's (1.1) and (1.2) representing integrable perturbation of the axial and vector CFT's are indeed invariant under q -deformed $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ algebra. This can be directly verified by calculating the $Q_{\pm}$ and Q_i transformations of the corresponding Hamiltonians. Finally, using canonical PB's (2.34) we verify that

$$\{Q_{\pm}, \mathcal{H}_{ax,vec}^{IM}\} = \{Q_i, \mathcal{H}_{ax,vec}^{IM}\} = 0$$

and thus confirming once more the nonlocal symmetries of the IM's (1.1) and (1.2).

3 Solitons carrying Isospin

This section is devoted to the construction of the one soliton solutions of the axial and vector IM's (1.1) and (1.2) by means of the vacua Backlund transformation method.

3.1 Vacua Backlund Transformations

An important property of the 2-D IM's (including NA - ATFT's in consideration) is that one can derive their soliton solutions starting from the constant vacua solution $g_{0\ vac}$ by applying the Backlund transformation method. As it is well known [16] the 1-soliton solution g_{01} of eqns. (2.41) satisfy the following matrix system of 1st-order differential equations,

$$g_{01}^{-1} \partial g_{01} X - [g_{01}^{-1} Y g_{0\ vac}, \epsilon_-] = 0 \quad \bar{\partial} g_{01} g_{01}^{-1} Y - [g_{01} X g_{0\ vac}^{-1}, \epsilon_+] = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

where the constant matrices X and Y satisfy

$$[X, \epsilon_-] = [Y, \epsilon_+] = 0$$

i.e., they have the form:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{B} & 0 \\ 0 & b_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \{a_{ik}, i, k = 1, 2\}, \quad \mathcal{B} = \{b_{ik}, i, k = 1, 2\}$$

and the vacua solution of (2.41) is given by:

$$g_{0\ vac} = e^{\bar{a}E - \alpha_1} e^{a_1 \lambda_1 \cdot H + a_2 \lambda_2 \cdot H} e^{aE_{\alpha_1}} \quad (3.2)$$

with $\bar{a}, a, a_i, a_{ik}, b_{ik}, a_{33}, b_{33}$ - all arbitrary constants. Since the Lagrangian (1.1) and its equations of motion (2.41) are CP -invariant,

$$\psi'_i = \chi_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad Px = -x, \quad P\partial = \bar{\partial} \quad (3.3)$$

we require that the eqns. (3.1) are also invariant under (3.3), thus relating the two matrix equations (3.1) (and X and Y as well). This provides us with the following simple system of 1st order differential equations (DE) for the 1-soliton solutions (with g_{01} parametrized as in (A.8)):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\psi_1 &= -\frac{m}{\gamma}\psi_1 \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{2}\psi_2\chi_2 e^{-\frac{2\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}\right) e^{\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}, \\ \partial\chi_1 &= -\frac{m}{\gamma}\chi_1 \left(1 + \beta^2\psi_1\chi_1 + \frac{3}{2}\beta^2\psi_2\chi_2\right) e^{-\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}, \\ \partial\psi_2 &= -\frac{m}{\gamma}\psi_2 \left(1 - \frac{\beta^2}{2}\psi_1\chi_1 e^{-\frac{2\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}\right) e^{\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}, \\ \partial\chi_2 &= -\frac{m}{\gamma}\chi_2 \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{2}\psi_1\chi_1 + \beta^2\psi_2\chi_2\right) e^{-\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}, \\ \bar{\partial}\psi_1 &= m\gamma\psi_1 \left(1 + \beta^2\psi_1\chi_1 + \frac{3}{2}\beta^2\psi_2\chi_2\right) e^{-\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}, \\ \bar{\partial}\chi_1 &= m\gamma\chi_1 \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{2}\psi_2\chi_2 e^{-\frac{2\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}\right) e^{\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}, \\ \bar{\partial}\psi_2 &= m\gamma\psi_2 \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{2}\psi_1\chi_1 + \beta^2\psi_2\chi_2\right) e^{-\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}, \\ \bar{\partial}\chi_2 &= m\gamma\chi_2 \left(1 - \frac{\beta^2}{2}\psi_1\chi_1 e^{-\frac{2\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}\right) e^{\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\gamma = -\lambda \left(\frac{a_{33}}{b_{33}}\right) e^{\frac{1}{3}(r_1+2r_2)} = e^b$. As consequence of the constraint eqns. (A.16),(2.10) and eqns. (3.4) the nonlocal fields $R_i, \tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\chi}$ satisfy the following 1st order DE:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}R_1 &= m\gamma\beta(\psi_1\chi_1 - \psi_2\chi_2)e^{-\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}, \\ \bar{\partial}R_2 &= m\gamma\beta(\psi_1\chi_1 + 2\psi_2\chi_2)e^{-\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}, \\ \partial R_1 &= -\frac{m}{\gamma}\beta(\psi_1\chi_1 - \psi_2\chi_2)e^{-\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)}, \\ \partial R_2 &= -\frac{m}{\gamma}\beta(\psi_1\chi_1 + 2\psi_2\chi_2)e^{-\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1+2R_2)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\tilde{\psi} &= -\frac{m}{\gamma}\beta\chi_2\psi_1 e^{-\frac{\beta}{6}(5R_1+4R_2)}, & \bar{\partial}\tilde{\psi} &= m\gamma\beta\psi_2\chi_1 e^{-\frac{\beta}{6}(5R_1+4R_2)}, \\ \partial\tilde{\chi} &= -\frac{m}{\gamma}\beta\psi_2\chi_1 e^{-\frac{\beta}{6}(5R_1+4R_2)}, & \bar{\partial}\tilde{\chi} &= m\gamma\beta\chi_2\psi_1 e^{-\frac{\beta}{6}(5R_1+4R_2)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

The following algebraic relations also should take place:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_2 \tilde{\chi} e^{\frac{\beta}{2} R_1} - \chi_1 &= -\frac{1}{\gamma \rho_1} \chi_1 e^{\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1 - R_2)}, & \psi_2 + \psi_1 \tilde{\chi} e^{\frac{\beta}{2} R_1} &= -\frac{1}{\gamma \kappa_1} \psi_2 e^{\frac{\beta}{3}(2R_1 + R_2)} \\ \rho_2 \chi_2 + \rho_1 \tilde{\psi} \chi_1 e^{-\frac{\beta}{6}(R_1 + 2R_2)} &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \chi_2 e^{-\frac{\beta}{3}(2R_1 + R_2)},\end{aligned}\quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1 &= \frac{e^{\frac{1}{3}(2a_1 + a_2)}}{\lambda \det \mathcal{A}} \left((a_{22} - a_{21}a)(b_{11} + b_{12}\bar{a}) - a_{21}b_{12}e^{-a_1} \right), \\ \rho_2 &= \frac{e^{\frac{1}{3}(2a_1 + a_2)}}{\lambda \det \mathcal{A}} \left((a_{11}a - a_{12})(b_{21} + b_{22}\bar{a}) + a_{11}b_{22}e^{-a_1} \right), \\ \kappa_1 &= \frac{\lambda}{\det \mathcal{B}} \left((b_{12}\bar{a} + b_{11})(a_{22} - a_{21}a)e^{\frac{1}{3}(a_1 - a_2)} - b_{12}a_{21}e^{-\frac{1}{3}(2a_1 + a_2)} \right)\end{aligned}$$

As a first step in the construction of solutions of eqns. (3.4) and (3.5) we derive their "conservation laws":

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\gamma \partial - \frac{1}{\gamma} \bar{\partial} \right) \ln \frac{\psi_i}{\chi_i} &= 0, & \left(\gamma \partial + \frac{1}{\gamma} \bar{\partial} \right) \ln(\psi_i \chi_i) &= 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \\ \left(\gamma \partial + \frac{1}{\gamma} \bar{\partial} \right) (2R_1 + R_2) &= 0, & \left(\gamma \partial + \frac{1}{\gamma} \bar{\partial} \right) (R_2 - R_1) &= 0, \\ \partial \ln \left(\frac{\psi_2}{\psi_1} e^{\frac{\beta}{6}(R_1 + 2R_2)} \right) &= \bar{\partial} \ln \left(\frac{\psi_2}{\psi_1} e^{\frac{\beta}{6}(R_1 + 2R_2)} \right) = 0 \\ \partial \ln \left(\frac{\chi_2}{\chi_1} e^{\frac{\beta}{6}(R_1 + 2R_2)} \right) &= \bar{\partial} \ln \left(\frac{\chi_2}{\chi_1} e^{\frac{\beta}{6}(R_1 + 2R_2)} \right) = 0\end{aligned}\quad (3.8)$$

The first integrals of the system (3.4) and (3.5) can be easily obtained from eqns. (3.4), (3.5) and (3.8):

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\psi_2}{\psi_1} e^{\frac{\beta}{6}(R_1 + 2R_2)} &= C_1, & \frac{\chi_2}{\chi_1} e^{\frac{\beta}{6}(R_1 + 2R_2)} &= C_2 \\ 2 \sinh\left(\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1 + 2R_2)\right) - (\psi_1 \chi_1 + \psi_2 \chi_2) e^{-\frac{\beta}{3}(R_1 + 2R_2)} &= C_3, \\ e^{\frac{\beta}{3}(R_2 - R_1)} + C_1 C_2 e^{-\frac{\beta}{3}(2R_1 + R_2)} &= C_4\end{aligned}\quad (3.9)$$

where $C_a, a = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are arbitrary (complex) constants. We next observe that all the fields ψ_i, χ_i, R_i and $\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\chi}$ can be realized in terms of two fields $\phi = \frac{1}{3}(R_1 + 2R_2)$ and $u = \frac{\psi_2}{\chi_2}$ only. For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_1^2 &= \left(\frac{e^{2\beta\phi} - C_3 e^{\beta\phi} - 1}{1 + C_1 C_2 e^{-\beta\phi}} \right) \frac{C_1}{C_2} u^{-1}, & \chi_2^2 &= \left(\frac{e^{\beta\phi} - e^{-\beta\phi} - C_3}{1 + C_1 C_2 e^{-\beta\phi}} \right) C_1 C_2 u^{-1}, \\ e^{\frac{\beta}{2} R_1} &= \frac{1}{C_4} \left(e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta\phi} + C_1 C_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta\phi} \right), & e^{\frac{\beta}{2} R_2} &= \sqrt{C_4} e^{\beta\phi} \left(e^{\phi} + C_1 C_2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned}\quad (3.10)$$

We next consider the 1st order differential equations for the basic fields ϕ and u :

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\rho_+}(e^{\beta\phi}) &= m(1 - e^{2\beta\phi} + C_3 e^{\beta\phi}), & \partial_{\rho_-}(e^{\beta\phi}) &= 0, \\ \partial_{\rho_-} \ln(u) &= -mC_3, & \partial_{\rho_+} \ln(u) &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

where we have introduced new variables $\rho_{\pm}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_+ &= x \cosh(b) - t \sinh(b), & \partial_{\rho_+} &= \sinh(b)\partial_t + \cosh(b)\partial_x, \\ \rho_- &= t \cosh(b) - x \sinh(b), & \partial_{\rho_-} &= \cosh(b)\partial_t + \sinh(b)\partial_x, & b &= \ln(\gamma)\end{aligned}$$

Due to the fact that

$$u = e^{-mC_3\rho_- + iD}, \quad D = \text{const}\tag{3.12}$$

is time dependent (even in the rest frame, i.e., for $b = 0$) an important feature of the finite energy (1-soliton) solutions of axial IM (1.1) is that they are not static and one should consider separately the following two cases

- periodic in time particle-like solutions (for $\alpha \neq 0, \pi$ i.e. $\sin(\alpha) \neq 0$),

$$\begin{aligned}C_3 &= -2i \sin(\alpha), \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 2\pi, \\ \beta^2 &= -\frac{2\pi}{k}, \quad k > 0, \quad \beta = i\beta_0, \quad \beta_0 \in R\end{aligned}$$

- nonperiodic in time (unstable) solutions i.e.,

$$C_3 = -2 \sinh(\alpha), \quad \alpha \in R, \quad k < 0, \quad \beta \in R$$

The second case is characterized by the fact that the electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{3}(Q_1 + 2Q_2)$ and isospin projection $I_3 = \frac{1}{2}Q_1$ (as well as the corresponding topological charges) are *not quantized* i.e., $Q \in R, I_3 \in R$ and that the solutions $\psi_i(x, t), \chi_i(x, t)$ are real functions. This choice corresponds to integrable perturbation of the noncompact $SL(3, R)/GL(2, R)$ Gepner PF's (more precisely of $H_- \backslash SL(3, R)/H_+$ -coset model). We consider in what follows the first case only, since similarly to the case of the A_2 Abelian Affine Toda IM's (i.e. IM based on the principal gradation [26]) for imaginary coupling $\beta = i\beta_0$ our NA Toda IM's (1.1) and (1.2) turns out to admit charged topological (and although complex) soliton solutions with real and positive energy. In the case of the considered NA affine Toda axial IM (1.1) the corresponding one solitons are time - dependent (in the rest frame) and periodic complex solutions, while for the vector model case (1.2) they are quite similar to the abelian affine Toda case - i.e. they are static complex solutions again having real and positive energy. They in fact represent solutions of the integrable perturbations of IM's related to the corresponding complex group, i.e. " $SL(3, C)/GL(2, C)$ " - WZW model. The explicit form of these 1-solitons can be derived from eqns. (3.4), (3.5), (3.9) and (3.11):

$$e^{i\beta_0\phi} = e^{-i\alpha} \frac{e^f - e^{2i\alpha}e^{-f}}{e^f + e^{-f}}\tag{3.13}$$

where $f = m\rho_+ \cos(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}X_0$ (with $X_0 = \text{const}$) and

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1 &= \pm \frac{2\cos(\alpha)}{\beta_0(1+C_1C_2e^{i\alpha})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{(e^f - e^{2i\alpha}e^{-f})^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{im\rho_- \sin(\alpha) + \frac{i}{2}D}}{(e^f - A_1e^{-f})^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^f + e^{-f})} \left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\psi_2 &= \pm \frac{2\cos(\alpha)}{\beta_0(1+C_1C_2e^{i\alpha})^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\frac{1}{2}i\alpha} \frac{e^{im\rho_- \sin(\alpha) + \frac{i}{2}D}}{(e^f - A_1e^{-f})^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^f + e^{-f})^{\frac{1}{2}}} (C_2C_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\chi_1 &= \pm \frac{2\cos(\alpha)}{\beta_0(1+C_1C_2e^{i\alpha})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{(e^f - e^{2i\alpha}e^{-f})^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-im\rho_- \sin(\alpha) - \frac{i}{2}D}}{(e^f - A_1e^{-f})^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^f + e^{-f})} \left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\chi_2 &= \pm \frac{2\cos(\alpha)}{\beta_0(1+C_1C_2e^{i\alpha})^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\frac{1}{2}i\alpha} \frac{e^{-im\rho_- \sin(\alpha) - \frac{i}{2}D}}{(e^f - A_1e^{-f})^{\frac{1}{2}}(e^f + e^{-f})^{\frac{1}{2}}} (C_2C_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

where $A_1 = e^{2i\alpha} \frac{1-C_1C_2e^{-i\alpha}}{1+C_1C_2e^{i\alpha}}$. As it is evident from eqn. (3.14), ψ_i, χ_i are complex periodic functions

$$\psi_i(x, t+T) = \psi_i(x, t), \quad \chi_i(x, t+T) = \chi_i(x, t)$$

with period $T = \frac{2\pi}{m\sin(\alpha)}$. Note that for $\alpha = \pi l$, $l = 0, 1, \dots$ they become static (i.e. time independent in the rest frame $b = 0$) and complex conjugate ($\psi_i^* = \chi_i$). We postpone the complete study of the semiclassical 1-soliton spectrum and its particle interpretation to Sect.4.

3.2 Vector Model Solitons

Topological 1-solitons of the vector model (1.2) can be constructed as solutions of the corresponding first order equations (3.1) written in a parametrization of g_{01} (see eqs. (A.11)-(A.12)) appropriate for its description. There exists however a simple way to obtain these 1-solitons in terms of the axial IM 1-solitons (3.13) - (3.14). It is based on the following relation between the axial and vector fields:

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= e^{i\frac{\beta_0}{3}(R_2-R_1)}, \quad B = A^{-1}(1 + \beta_0^2\psi_2\chi_2), \quad \Theta_i = \ln\left(\frac{\psi_i}{\chi_i}\right) \\
E &= e^{i\frac{\beta_0}{3}(R_2+2R_1)}, \quad F = E^{-1}(1 + \beta_0^2\psi_1\chi_1), \tag{3.15}
\end{aligned}$$

which is obtained by comparing the two different parametrizations (A.8) and (A.11) of the group element $g \in SL(3)$ and according to the definitions (2.21). It is important to mention that the above nonlocal change of the field variables (3.15) represents an alternative form of the abelian T-duality transformations, similarly to the axial and vector dyonic IM's considered in ref.[16], [28]. In order to write the explicit form of the vector IM 1-solitons we need together with the axial IM 1-solitons form (3.13) - (3.14), the corresponding solutions for the nonlocal fields R_i (see eqn. (3.10)):

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{i\beta_0 R_1} &= \frac{e^{-i\alpha}(1+C_1C_2e^{i\alpha})^2(e^f - A_1e^{-f})^2}{C_4^2(e^f - e^{2i\alpha}e^{-f})(e^f + e^{-f})}, \\
e^{i\beta_0 R_2} &= \frac{C_4e^{-i\alpha}(e^f - e^{2i\alpha}e^{-f})^2}{(1+C_1C_2e^{i\alpha})(e^f + e^{-f})(e^f - A_1e^{-f})} \tag{3.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.16) and (3.14) in eqn. (3.15) we derive the 1-solitons of the vector IM (1.2):

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{C_4(e^f - e^{-f}e^{2i\alpha})}{(1 + C_1C_2e^{i\alpha})(e^f - A_1e^{-f})}, & E &= \frac{(1 + C_1C_2e^{i\alpha})(e^f - A_1e^{-f})}{C_4(e^f + e^{-f})}e^{-i\alpha}, \\ \Theta_1 &= 2im\rho_- \sin(\alpha) + iD + \ln \frac{C_2}{C_1}, & \Theta_2 &= \Theta_1 - \ln(C_2/C_1) \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Since in the rest frame ($b = 0$), $f = mx \cos(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}X_0$ is time independent, we conclude that 1-solitons of the vector IM represented by A, B, E, F according to eqns. (3.15) and (3.17) are static. As we shall show in Sect.4, they carry two nontrivial topological charges and *vanishing* $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ Noether charges, $Q_i^{vec} = 0$.

4 Soliton Spectrum and its Symmetries

The main problem addressed in this section concerns the semiclassical particle - like spectrum of the one soliton solutions of the axial and vector IM's. We derive their masses, topological and Noether charges and the most important - we identify the specific root of unity representations of the $SU(2)_q$ algebra they belong to.

4.1 Boundary conditions, vacua and topological charges

It is well known, that the topological charges in QFT models are closely related to their discrete symmetries and consequently to the admissible constant solutions of the corresponding field equations that provide nontrivial boundary conditions (b.c.'s) for a set of fields at $x = \pm\infty$. Their proper definition is as winding number of the map $\phi(x) : R_x$ to S_1 or more general for matrix valued fields, say $\phi^{ab}(x) : R_x$ to S_2 , etc. In the simplest case such charges can be prescribed to those fields ϕ_i that represent angular variables, i.e. for example

$$\phi(+\infty) = \phi(-\infty) + 2\pi n \mathcal{R}, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad (4.1)$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the compactification radius of ϕ (the radius of the circle S_1). It is important to distinguish the case of arbitrary $\mathcal{R}$ corresponding to winding Z -type b.c.'s (as in sine-Gordon model) from the one of "quantized" $\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{k}$ (as in NA - Toda models), which gives rise of Z_k -parafermionic (PF) type of b.c.'s. The finite energy solutions such that all the fields in the model have trivial (i.e. zero) boundary values (and therefore all the topological charges vanish), but they carry certain Noether charges, are usually called "non-topological" solitons [22], [15].

Indeed the admissible b.c.'s for the fields in a given model should be derived from its symmetries - continuous, say $U(1)$ and $SU(2)_q$ or/and discrete - Z or Z_k . By definition they represent constant solutions of the models that have zero energy, i.e.,

$$V_{ax} = \psi_1 \chi_2 \left(\frac{\chi_1}{\chi_2} + \frac{\psi_2}{\psi_1} \right) = 0, \quad V_{vec} = AB + EF - 2 = 0$$

The structure of the *classical* vacua manifolds (i.e. zero energy constant solutions) of these models is dictated by their $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ symmetry. The asymptotics of the one - soliton

solutions (3.14) and (3.17) give us an idea about the type of the b.c.'s (i.e. vacua) these solitons are connecting. For example, for the boundary conditions of the fields A, E, B, F of the vector model we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(+\infty) &= A_1 e^{-2i(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{2})} A(-\infty), & B(+\infty) &= \frac{1}{A_1} e^{2i(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{2})} B(-\infty) \\ E(+\infty) &= \frac{1}{A_1} E(-\infty), & F(+\infty) &= A_1 F(-\infty), & A_1 &= e^{2i\alpha} \frac{1 - C_1 C_2 e^{-i\alpha}}{1 + C_1 C_2 e^{i\alpha}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

and therefore their vacua (parametrized by α and $C_1 C_2$) represent a surface $AB + EF = 2$. In the case of the axial model, the classical vacua are defined by the nontrivial b.c.'s (at $x = \pm\infty$) of the angular fields s_l ,i.e. of $r_1 = \frac{\psi_2}{\psi_1}$ and $r_2 = \frac{\chi_2}{\chi_1}$, according to the definition of the new axial fields (2.31),

$$r_s(+\infty) = \pm C_s e^{-\frac{i}{2}\alpha}, \quad r_s(-\infty) = \pm C_s e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{2})}, \quad r_s(+\infty) = e^{-i(\alpha-\frac{\pi}{2})} r_s(-\infty), \quad s = 1, 2 \quad (4.3)$$

The condition $V_{ax} = 0$ does not lead to restrictions on α and $C_1 C_2$, since according to eqs.(3.14), we always have $\psi_1(\pm\infty) = 0$ and $\chi_2(\pm\infty) = 0$. Although we have in both models nontrivial and different b.c.'s we can not define proper topological charges without imposing certain additional requirements on the values of the parameters α and $C_1 C_2$ (or A_1). In fact such (two continuous parameter) family of classical solutions does not represents topological solitons since we can continuously deform each one of them to the vacua (constant, zero energy) solution with $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $C_1 C_2 = 0$. As we have already mentioned the topological charges (and topological solitons) require the presence of discrete set of degenerate vacua. Hence the question to be answered is whether one can further choose the parameters α and $C_1 C_2$ in order to realize such vacua (i.e. b.c.'s) and the most important question is - which are the symmetries (of the classical or/and quantum theories) that lead to such choice. Similar problem ³ takes place in the case of the complex SG and HSG models [22],[36] and its solution is based on the Bohr-Sommerfeld (BS) quantization of the U(1) charge and the consequences for the topological charge in the T-dual vector model. More general, various quantum non-abelian Toda models are known to exhibit Z_k symmetries, obtained by the breaking of the $U(1)$ symmetry in the process of renormalization [8],[39]. Indeed one can choose the parameters in the one - soliton solutions (3.16) in order to realize specific PF - type b.c.'s,

$$\begin{aligned} A(+\infty) &= \exp(-2i\pi \frac{l}{k}) A(-\infty), & B(+\infty) &= \exp(2i\pi \frac{l}{k}) B(-\infty) \\ E(+\infty) &= \exp(-2i\pi \frac{(j_{el} - l)}{k}) E(-\infty), & F(+\infty) &= \exp(2i\pi \frac{(j_{el} - l)}{k}) F(-\infty) \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

using as an argument the "angular" properties of the Cartan torus fields (R_i or Φ_i) and the definitions (3.15) of the fields A, B, E, F . However the specific choice of their compactification radius $\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{k}$ remains a conjecture. The consistent treatment, that makes clear the breaking of the $U(1)$ symmetry to Z_k in the procedure of quantization and also the origin of

³it is a generalization of the BS quantization of the SG breather

the difference between the classical and quantum vacua, has as its starting point the semiclassical BS -quantization of the time-dependent and periodic 1-solitons (for $\sin(\alpha) \neq 0$) of the axial model. As we shall see in Sects.4.2 and 4.3. below, the BS procedure selects specific discrete values for α that lead to quantization of their $U(1)$ charge Q (and corresponding topological charges $Q_{ax,l}^{top}$ and Q_{ax}^{top}) and determine the compactification radius of a specific combination $\frac{\beta_0}{3}(R_1 + 2R_2)$ of the angular fields R_i . Such semiclassical BS-solutions interpolate between ceratin (degenerate) vacua defined by a *discrete* set of b.c.'s for the fields s_l (or r_l), they carry rational topological charges $\frac{j_l}{k}$ (related to the angular fields s_l) and finally these semiclassical solutions can not be deformed to the constant (vacua) solutions. Therefore they represent BS -type of topological solitons - indeed for very specific (quantised) values of the coupling constant $\beta = i\beta_0$ and $\beta_0^2 = \frac{2\pi}{k}$ only (where $k = 1, 2, \dots$).

The BS quantization however leaves the parameter $C_1 C_2$ (and also A_1) arbitrary and therefore it does not reproduce the $Z_k \otimes Z_k$ PF - b.c.'s (4.4). Instead it gives rise to certain mixed type $Z_k \otimes U(1)$ of (topological) b.c.'s. Although in the vector model only the sum of the "topological" charges Q_{vec}^E and Q_{vec}^A is quantised, the corresponding BS -semiclassical solitons for the vector model are also topological, i.e. they interpolate between a discrete set of b.c. and can not be deformed to the vacua solution. In order to obtain topological solitons for the vector model with two proper topological charges (i.e. both Q_{vec}^E and Q_{vec}^A quantised) and that interpolate between $Z_k \otimes Z_k$ b.c.'s (4.4) one has to "quantize" the parameter $C_1 C_2$ as well. This can be realized by requiring either that (1) all the "angular" fields (i.e. R_i and related to them Φ_i) have equal compactifaton radius $\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{k}$, or equivalently (2) the isospin I_3 to take (half)-integer values (i.e. to consider *unitary* finite dimensional representations of the larger symmetry group $SU(2)_q$). In the case of the axial model solitons, as one can see from eq.(4.3) the quantization of the $U(1)$ charge Q (i.e. of α) provides the following PF -type b.c.'s for the "angular" fields s_l (or equivalently of r_l and r), i.e.

$$r_l(-\infty) = \exp(\frac{i\pi j_{el}}{k})r_l(+\infty), \quad \beta_0 r(-\infty) = \beta_0 r(+\infty) + \frac{2\pi j_{el}}{k} \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore such charged solitons of the axial model interpolate between discrete degenerate vacua, defined by the b.c.'s of the fields r_l at $x = \pm\infty$ (all the other fields $\ln(\frac{\psi_i}{\chi_i})$, or ψ_1 and χ_2 etc. have zero asymptotics). The corresponding nontrivial topological charges take discrete values

$$Q_{ax,l}^{top} = -i \int \partial_x \ln(r_l) dx = \frac{\pi j_{el}}{k} \quad Q_{ax,1}^{top} = Q_{ax,2}^{top} = \frac{\beta_0^2}{2} Q \quad (4.6)$$

but the isospin of these solitons remains continuous. As a consequence the topological charges Q_{vec}^E and Q_{vec}^A of the vector model are not quantized. Since it is expected that in the quantum theory the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ symmetry to be completely broken to $Z_k \otimes Z_k$ ⁴, we shall impose the condition for (half)-integer isospin in this case as well.

It is worthwhile to mention the particular case of $\sin(\alpha) = 0$, i.e. $\alpha = (j+1)\pi$ (with $j = -1, 0$ due to the periodicity of eqs. (3.14) in α), when the soliton solutions of the axial model (3.14) become static and one can no longer use the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization

⁴an indication for this are the discrete $Z_k \otimes Z_k$ symmetries of the $\mu = 0$ limits of the massive models that are represented by quantum CFT's of PF -type

rule. For these values of α the b.c.'s of the fields r_l (4.3) take the simple form: $r_s(+\infty) = -i(-1)^j r_s(-\infty)$. Such solitons carry integer charges

$$Q_{ax}^{top} = 2\pi(2j+1) \quad Q = (2j+1)k$$

and as we shall see in sect.4.4. their mass is given by $M = \frac{2\mu k}{\pi}$ (one can also consistently choose their isospin to be (half)-integer).

The most important property of the finite energy solutions of the considered NA -Toda integrable models is that their *classical* vacua (defined by the allowed b.c.'s of the classical fields) are described by continuous two parameter surface and the corresponding classical solutions (of nontopological type) represent a family of unstable classical particles. However for specific values of the coupling constant the corresponding *semiclassical* vacua manifold is discrete and one can explicitly realize semiclassical BS - type topological solitons with quantised (rational) topological charges, which are expected to represent stable (strong coupling) quantum particles. This picture is quite similar to the original Bohr idea concerning the stability of the atoms - namely the electron orbits are quantised, i.e. only a discrete set of periods (compare with the periods $T = \frac{2\pi}{m\sin(\alpha)}$ of our solitons that are quantised when α is quantised) are allowed for the quantum particles, which in fact gives rise to the BS -quantization rules in quantum mechanics and in quantum field theory. It should be noted that these features of the classical and semiclassical solitons of the NA -Toda integrable models are quite different from the well known properties of the classical and quantum vacua and (classical and quantum) solitons in the Sine -Gordon model (as well as of the abelian affine Toda theories) and from the kinks in the broken symmetry ϕ^4 model. In all these cases both classical and quantum vacua are discrete (and degenerate) and both classical and quantum solitons are topological (for arbitrary values of the coupling constant), i.e. contrary to the considered NA -Toda integrable models, the quantization does not change the topological properties of the solitons. Instead in the NA -Toda models the renormalization leads to the breaking of the $U(1)$ symmetry and to quantization of the topological charge, requiring Z_k -topological b.c.'s conditions, that takes place only for specific values of the coupling constant. The difference with the nontopological solitons of the complex SG model is that after BS quantization the solitons of the axial CSG model remains nontopological (there is no topological charge similar to the one related to the axial fields s_l), while in the considered NA -Toda IM's the semiclassical quantization leads to topological BS - type solitons both in the axial and vector model.

4.2 Charges of axial IM solitons

According to its definition (2.11) the $U(1)$ charge $Q = \frac{1}{3}(2Q_2 + Q_1)$ of the 1-soliton solutions (3.14) can be realized in terms of the asymptotic values $R_i(\pm\infty)$ of the nonlocal fields (3.16), i.e. we have:

$$Q = \frac{2}{\beta_0^2}(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}) \equiv -\frac{i}{3\beta} \int \partial_x(2R_2 + R_1)dx \quad (4.7)$$

Similarly for their isospin projection I_3 we obtain,

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{2}Q_1 = -\frac{i}{2\beta} \int dx \partial_x R_1 = \frac{1}{\beta_0^2} \left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2} + i \ln \left(\frac{1 + C_1 C_2 e^{i\alpha}}{1 - C_1 C_2 e^{-i\alpha}} \right) \right) \quad (4.8)$$

In order to calculate the remaining two charges $Q_{\pm}$ we need the explicit form of the nonlocal fields $\tilde{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ (given by eqns. (3.7) or (3.6)):

$$\tilde{\psi} = \psi_0 + \frac{C_4}{C_1} \left(\frac{e^{\beta\phi}}{e^{\beta\phi} + C_1 C_2} \right), \quad \tilde{\chi} = \chi_0 + \frac{C_4}{C_2} \left(\frac{e^{\beta\phi}}{e^{\beta\phi} + C_1 C_2} \right) \quad (4.9)$$

where ψ_0, χ_0 are certain constant functions of ρ_1, ρ_2, C_1, C_2 and $\det \mathcal{A}$. Taking into account eqns. (2.11) and (4.9) we finally derive

$$\begin{aligned} Q_+ &= \frac{\sqrt{2} C_2 C_4 \cos(\alpha)}{\beta_0 (C_1 C_2 + e^{-i\alpha})(C_1 C_2 - e^{i\alpha})}, \\ Q_- &= \frac{\sqrt{2} C_1 C_4 \cos(\alpha)}{\beta_0 (C_1 C_2 + e^{-i\alpha})(C_1 C_2 - e^{i\alpha})} \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Next question to be addressed is about the topological charges of the 1-solitons (3.14) of the axial IM (1.1), which are related to the "isometric" fields

$$Q_i^{top, ax} = i \int dx \partial_x \ln \left(\frac{\psi_i}{\chi_i} \right), \quad (4.11)$$

as well as the ones for the "non-isometric" fields

$$Q_{ax}^{top} = i \int dx \partial_x \ln \left(\frac{\psi_1 \chi_1}{\psi_2 \chi_2} \right) = i\beta \int dx \partial_x r, \quad Q_{ax,l}^{top} = -i \int \partial_x \ln(r_l) dx \quad (4.12)$$

Since we have that by definition $Q_{ax}^{top} = Q_{ax,1}^{top} + Q_{ax,2}^{top}$, only two of "nonisometric" topological charges $Q_{ax,l}^{top}$ and Q_{ax}^{top} are independent. As one can easily verify the "isometric" topological charges $Q_i^{top, ax}$ (4.11) of the the 1-solitons given by eqns. (3.14) are zero. An important feature of the axial model (1.1) is that its solitons (3.14) have different nonvanishing asymptotics (4.3) of the fields r_l and of their composite $\beta r = \ln \left(\frac{\psi_1 \chi_1}{\psi_2 \chi_2} \right)$ and therefore they can carry nontrivial "nonisometric" topological charges $Q_{ax,l}^{top}$, defined by eq.(4.12) above. According to the discussion of Sect.4.1 the classical vacua of the model are parametrised by two(continuous) parameters and one have to impose certain "quantization" conditions in order to have discrete degenerate vacua (i.e. b.c.'s of Z_k type for the fields r_l) and properly defined "nonisometric" topological charges $Q_{ax,l}^{top}$. This can be (partially) achieved by applying the field theory analog to the Bohr-Sommerfeld rule for quantization of classical periodic motions, namely that $\int_0^\tau p \dot{q} dt = 2\pi j_{el}$, $j_{el} = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ to the time -dependent soliton solutions (see eq.(3.14) for $\sin(\alpha) \neq 0$). In this case and for $\beta = i\beta_0$ these charged 1-solitons represent periodic particle-like motion with period $\tau = \frac{2\pi}{m \sin(\alpha)}$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} S^{ax} + E(v=0)\tau &= \int_0^\tau dt \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \sum \Pi_{\varphi_a} \dot{\varphi}_a = 2\pi j_{el}, \quad \varphi_a = \psi_i, \chi_i, \\ \mathcal{H}^{ax} &= \sum \Pi_{\varphi_a} \dot{\varphi}_a - \mathcal{L}^{ax}, \quad \Pi_{\varphi_a} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}^{ax}}{\delta \dot{\varphi}_a} \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

and taking into account $\dot{\psi}_i, \dot{\chi}_i$ properties,

$$\partial_t (\psi_i \chi_i) = 0, \quad \partial_t \left(\ln \left(\frac{\psi_i}{\chi_i} \right) \right) = 2im \sin(\alpha)$$

we derive the following quantization rule:

$$2\pi j_{el} = -2\pi i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx (\psi_1 \Pi_{\psi_1} - \chi_1 \Pi_{\chi_1} + \psi_2 \Pi_{\psi_2} - \chi_2 \Pi_{\chi_2}) = 2\pi Q \quad (4.14)$$

i.e. $Q = j_{el}$.

An interesting property of the axial model 1-solitons is that the above quantization of the $U(1)$ charge selects a discrete set of values for the parameter $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\beta_0^2}{2} j_{el}$. As a consequence we find that the b.c.'s for the fields r_l and r ,

$$r_l(-\infty) = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi j_{el}}{k}\right) r_l(+\infty), \quad \beta_o r(-\infty) = \beta_o r(+\infty) + \frac{2\pi j_{el}}{k} \quad (4.15)$$

are of PF - type. Therefore we have a discrete set of semiclassical vacua, characterized by the b.c.'s of the fields r_l (4.15). The (semiclassically quantized) charged solitons connect two such vacua and they carry topological charges $Q_{ax,l}^{top} = \frac{\pi j_{el}}{k}$ and $Q_{ax}^{top} = \frac{2\pi j_{el}}{k}$. Observe that the Noether charge Q and the topological charges Q_{ax}^{top} and $Q_{ax,l}^{top}$ are proportional

$$Q_{ax}^{top} = \beta_0^2 Q = 2Q_{ax,l}^{top} = 2\left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \frac{2\pi j_{el}}{k} \quad (4.16)$$

which is a consequence of the first two equations in (3.9), i.e.

$$i\beta_o r = \ln\left(\frac{\psi_1 \chi_1}{\psi_2 \chi_2}\right) = i\frac{\beta_o}{3}(R_1 + 2R_2) - \ln C_1 C_2$$

This relation between the Noether charge Q and the topological charge Q_{ax}^{top} for the one - soliton solutions of the axial model is not accidental. It follows from the constraints (A.16) together with the one - soliton equations (3.4) and (3.5), which relate the fields r_l to an appropriate linear combination of the fields R_i . The equivalent relation for the vector model connects topological charges Q_{vec}^E and Q_{vec}^A with Q_{vec}^{top} , i.e. we have $Q_{vec}^E + Q_{vec}^A = Q_{vec}^{top}$. Whether similar phenomena takes place for the multi-soliton solutions is an open problem.

It is important to mention that due to the periodicity of the soliton solutions (3.14) in α one can consider the finite interval $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2\pi$ only. In fact one can further restrict it to $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$, since as one can see from eqs.(3.14) and (3.17) the asymptotics of all the fields depends on the $sign(cos(\alpha))$. Changing the sign of $cos(\alpha)$ one exchanges the asymptotics at $x = +\infty$ with the ones of $x = -\infty$, say $r_l(+\infty)$ to $r_l(-\infty)$, and therefore it corresponds of changing the sign of all the charges Q , $Q_{ax,l}^{top}$, etc., i.e. of solitons with anti-solitons and vice-versa. The values $\alpha = \pm\frac{\pi}{2}$ (i.e. $j_{el} = 0$) are excluded since they correspond to constant solutions (and not to solitons) as one can see from eqs.(3.14) and (3.17). This leads us to the following restriction on the range of j_{el} (and also of Q and $Q_{ax,l}^{top}$), namely $-k < j_{el} < k$ (and $j_{el} \neq 0$), when solitons and anti-solitons are considered together.

Due to T-duality relations between the charges in the axial and vector models the sum of the "vector" topological charges, i.e. Q_{vec}^{top} is also quantized. It should be noted that the semiclassical BS - quantization does not restrict the isospin projection j_3 to be discrete, since the parameter $C_1 C_2$ remains arbitrary. As a consequence the vector charges Q_{vec}^E and Q_{vec}^A also have continuum spectrum. Their quantization, as well as of the isospin, is discussed in the next section.

4.3 Charges of vector IM solitons

According to the definitions presented in Sect. 2.2, the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ Noether charges of the vector IM

$$Q_i^{vec} = \int J_i^{0, vec} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int (J_i^{vec} - \bar{J}_i^{vec}) dx$$

(see eq. (2.28) and (2.30)) can be realized in terms of the nonlocal fields Θ_i , i.e.,

$$Q_i^{vec} = \int \partial_x \Theta_i dx \quad (4.17)$$

Since the fields Θ_i (see eq(3.17) have trivial asymptotic values, the vector model 1-solitons are chargeless, i.e. $Q_i^{vec} = 0$ and also static (in their rest frame). Due to the relation

$$Q_{vec}^E + Q_{vec}^A = Q_{vec}^{top} \quad (4.18)$$

between their three topological charges

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{vec}^{top} &= i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_x \ln \left(\frac{EF - 1}{AB - 1} \right) dx = Q_{ax}^{top} = \beta_0^2 Q, \\ Q_{vec}^E &= i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_x \ln E dx = \frac{i\beta}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_x (R_2 + 2R_1) \\ Q_{vec}^A &= i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_x \ln A dx = \frac{i\beta}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_x (R_2 - R_1) \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

we can characterize them by Q_{vec}^A and $Q_{vec}^{top} = \beta_0^2 Q$ only. Observe that we can realize the second topological charge Q_{vec}^A in terms of the $U(1)$ Noether charges Q, I_3 of the axial model,

$$Q_{vec}^A = \beta_0^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} Q - I_3 \right) \quad (4.20)$$

The charges $Q_{vec}^{top} = Q_{ax}^{top} = \frac{2\pi j_{el}}{k}$ (and also Q) are already quantized as we have shown in Sect .4.2. The problem to be analysed here is how one can also quantize the isospin I_3 or equivalently the topological charge Q_{vec}^A , i.e. whether we can choose the free parameter $C_1 C_2$ such that the vector model solitons to interpolate between two different $Z_k \otimes Z_k$ - type b.c.'s. Note that the boundary conditions for the fields A, E, B, F in general are not of PF-type (see eq.(4.4)), since we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(+\infty) &= A_1 e^{-2i(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2})} A(-\infty), \quad B(+\infty) = \frac{1}{A_1} e^{2i(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2})} B(-\infty) \\ E(+\infty) &= \frac{1}{A_1} E(-\infty), \quad F(+\infty) = A_1 F(-\infty) \end{aligned}$$

where $A_1 = e^{2i\alpha \frac{1-C_1 C_2 e^{-i\alpha}}{1+C_1 C_2 e^{i\alpha}}}$. As we have mentioned in sect.4.1., there are two equivalent conditions that lead to the quantization of topological charge Q_{vec}^A and in the same time they provide b.c.'s of "topological" Z_k -type (4.4). Namely, one can impose that all the "angular" fields (R_i, r and Φ_i) to have the same radius of compactification $\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{k}$, or equivalently

that the isospin takes discrete (half)-integer values. Let us consider the consequences of the requirement on the isospin I_3 , i.e. we impose

$$I_3 = \frac{k}{2\pi}(\frac{1}{2}Q_{vec}^{top} - Q_{vec}^A) = \frac{1}{2}(j_{el} - 2l) = \frac{1}{2}j_3, \quad j_3 = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad (4.21)$$

where j_3 is parametrized in terms of j_{el} and an integer $l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. As a result, we find that the topological charges are given by

$$Q_{vec}^A = \frac{\pi(j_{el} - j_3)}{k}, \quad Q_{vec}^E = \frac{\pi(j_{el} + j_3)}{k} \quad (4.22)$$

The most important consequence of this assumption concerns the form of the b.c.'s for the fields A, E, B, F . Having in mind the explicit value of I_3 given by eqn. (4.8), i.e.

$$j_3 = \frac{2}{\beta_0^2} \left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2} + i \ln \left(\frac{1 + C_1 C_2 e^{i\alpha}}{1 - C_1 C_2 e^{-i\alpha}} \right) \right)$$

and that $j_{el} = \frac{2}{\beta_0^2}(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2})$ we derive the following restriction :

$$C_1 C_2 = \frac{i \sin(\frac{\beta_0^2 l}{4}(j_3 - j_{el}))}{\sin(\frac{\beta_0^2}{4}(j_3 + j_{el}))} \quad (4.23)$$

that the parameter $C_1 C_2$ should satisfy (for $j_{el} \neq \pm j_3$ and $0 < |j_{el}| < k$). Then the constant A_1 that appears in the b.c.'s (4.2) acquires the following simple form:

$$A_1 = -e^{i\beta_0^2(j_{el}-l)} = -q^{(j_{el}-l)} = -q^{\frac{1}{2}(j_{el}+j_3)}, \quad q = e^{i\beta_0^2} \quad (4.24)$$

Hence the requirement of (half)-integer isospin turns out to be equivalent of imposing $Z_k \otimes Z_k$ - PF b.c.'s for the vector model fields, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} A(+\infty) &= \exp(-2i\pi \frac{l}{k}) A(-\infty), & B(+\infty) &= \exp(2i\pi \frac{l}{k}) B(-\infty) \\ E(+\infty) &= \exp(-2i\pi \frac{(j_{el}-l)}{k}) E(-\infty), & F(+\infty) &= \exp(2i\pi \frac{(j_{el}-l)}{k}) F(-\infty) \end{aligned}$$

which are in the origin of the discrete spectrum (4.22) of the topological charges Q_{vec}^A and Q_{vec}^E . In fact, as we have mentioned in Sect .4.1, the vacua manifold for the (classical) vector model, i.e. those constant solutions (for the fields A, E, B and F) that also satisfy $AB + EF = 2$, represents a surface parametrized by α and $C_1 C_2$. The conditions of the BS - quantization of the $U(1)$ charge Q (4.14) (in the axial model) together with the isospin quantization (4.21) select a finite discrete set of allowed b.c.'s (4.4), i.e. the vacua manifold of the quantum model is discrete and finite dimensional (since j_{el} and l are defined mod k). It is important to mention that the quantization of α and $C_1 C_2$ (and respectively of the parameters A_1 (4.24) and $e^{2i\alpha}$) single out a discrete set of topological soliton solutions that interpolate between the different vacua characterized by the set of $Z_k \otimes Z_k$ - type of b.c.'s (4.4). In the case of the axial model the quantization of the $U(1)$ charge Q and of the

isospin I_3 also selects a discrete set of b.c.'s, representing the quantum vacua and we have a finite number of different soliton solutions(3.14)(due to the quantization of α and A_1) that connect these vacua.

Note that in the corresponding quantum theories for each (quantized) value of the coupling constant $\beta_0^2 = \frac{2\pi}{k}$ we have different number of "vacua" (and allowed b.c.'s) depending on the value of $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and as we shall see in the sect.4.5. below the corresponding soliton solutions belongs to different root of unity representations of the $SU(2)_q$.

The problem of semiclassical stability of these soliton solutions requires further analysis of the corresponding "stability" equations (see [26] for the abelian Toda case). We should also note that the promising method, developed in the recent paper([36]) for the analysis of the stability of complex SG solitons, and based on the explicit form of the Bogomol'nyi-like bounds for the soliton's energy, seems to have a natural extension to the IM's in consideration. Namely, the important ingredient for such analysis - the Backlund type transformations (i.e.the specific first order equations (3.4)) are available for the axial and vector model solitons too. However the problem of classical (and quantum) stability of the class of $Z_k \otimes Z_k$ - type solitons we have constructed here is indeed more involved and it is out of the scope of the present paper.

4.4 Soliton Mass Formula

In order to permit correct particle interpretation, the 1-soliton solutions (3.14) and (3.17) (although complex functions of x for $\beta = i\beta_0$), should have finite (real and positive) energy. Similarly to the abelian affine Toda [40] and to the $A_n^{(1)}$ dyonic IM's [16], the first order soliton equations (3.4) and (3.5) are the main tool in the derivation of the 1-soliton mass formula. They allow us to demonstrate that the stress-tensor components T^+ and T^- ,

$$\begin{aligned} T^+ &= \frac{1}{2\Delta} \left(\partial\psi_2\partial\chi_2(1 + \beta^2\psi_1\chi_1 + \beta^2\psi_2\chi_2) + \partial\psi_1\partial\chi_1(1 + \beta^2\psi_2\chi_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\beta^2}{2}(\psi_2\chi_1\partial\psi_1\partial\chi_2 + \chi_2\psi_1\partial\psi_2\partial\chi_1) \right), \\ T^- &= T^+(\partial \rightarrow \bar{\partial}), \quad V_{ax} = \mu^2(\psi_1\chi_1 + \psi_2\chi_2) \\ T_{00} &= T^+ + T^- + V, \quad T_{01} = T^+ - T^- \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

can be written as total derivatives, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} T_{00} &= \frac{\mu^2}{2}(\psi_1\chi_1 + \psi_2\chi_2)\left(\gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right)^2 = \frac{2\mu}{\beta_0^2} \cosh(b)\partial_x \left(e^{i\frac{\beta_0}{3}(R_1+2R_2)} \right), \\ T_{01} &= \frac{2\mu}{\beta_0^2} \sinh(b)\partial_x \left(e^{i\frac{\beta_0}{3}(R_1+2R_2)} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Therefore the mass of the 1-solitons (3.14) of the axial model (1.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M &= E(b=0) = \frac{4i\mu}{\beta_0^2} \cos(\alpha) e^{\frac{i\beta_0}{6}(\phi_+ + \phi_-)}, \\ \phi_{\pm} &= R_1(\pm\infty) + 2R_2(\pm\infty) \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the $R_i(\pm\infty)$ asymptotics derived from eqn. (3.16), we find that $ie^{\frac{i\beta_0}{6}(\phi_++\phi_-)} = 1$. Therefore the following formula for the soliton masses takes place :

$$M_{jel}^{ax} = \frac{4\mu}{\beta_0^2} |\cos(\alpha)| \equiv \frac{4\mu}{\beta_0^2} \left| \sin\left(\frac{\beta_0^2 j_{el}}{2}\right) \right| \quad (4.27)$$

Since M_{jel}^{ax} is independent of the isospin projection $I_3 = \frac{j_3}{2} = \frac{j_{el}}{2} - l$, the solutions labeled by different values of l form, as we shall see in the next section, multi-component representations of $SU(2)_q$ with the same mass M_{jel}^{ax} .

The mass of the 1-solitons of the vector model (1.2) is given by the same formula (4.27) as one expects, since T-duality requires coinciding Hamiltonians, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_{ax} = \mathcal{H}_{vec}$. Due to the fact that the electric $U(1)$ charge of the axial model $Q = j_{el}$ is mapped into the topological charge of the vector model $Q_{vec}^{top} = \beta_0^2 Q$, we conclude that

$$M^{vec} = \frac{4\mu}{\beta_0^2} \left| \sin\left(\frac{Q_{vec}^{top}}{2}\right) \right| = M_{jel}^{ax} \quad (4.28)$$

For the specific choice of $\alpha = (j+1)\pi$ that leads to static solitons (both in the axial and the vector models) we find that their mass is given by the simple formula $M = \frac{2\mu k}{\pi}$.

4.5 Solitons as Representations of $SU(2)_q \otimes U(1)$

We first note that the 1-soliton mass formula (4.27) (in the case $\alpha \neq (j+1)\pi$) is quite similar to the one of the sine-Gordon (SG) breathers [24] and to the charged 1-solitons of the complex SG model [22]. As one can see from the explicit form (3.14) (and (3.17)) we have different solutions for

$$j_{el} = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \pm (k-1)$$

only, since the factors $e^{2i\alpha} = -q^{j_{el}}$ and $A_1 = -q^{(j_{el}-l)}$ are quantized in a very specific way, due to periodicity of soliton solutions in α , as discussed in Sect.4.2. The value $j_{el} = 0$ is excluded since it corresponds to the constant vacua solutions and not to solitons. Following Tseytlin's path integral arguments (see Sect. 3 and 4 of ref. [41]) as in the case of dyonic IM's [16] we realize that β_0^2 is renormalized to

$$\beta_{0,renorm}^2 = \frac{2\pi}{k+3}, \quad q_{renorm} = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k+3}}$$

i.e. k should be replaced by $k+3$. As a consequence, we find that the allowed (renormalized) electric charges are given by

$$j_{el} = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \pm (k+2) \quad (4.29)$$

In order to derive the range of the values of j_3 (or I_3) we observe that for each fixed value of j_{el} as in (4.29) the number of different solutions (similar to the species of 1-solitons of the $A_n^{(1)}$ abelian affine Toda [40]) is governed by the constant A_1

$$A_1(j_{el}, I_3) = -e^{i\frac{\pi(j_{el}+j_3)}{k+3}},$$

as one can see from eqns. (3.14),(3.17) and (4.24). Hence for each fixed value of j_{el} we have $2(k+2)+1$ different values of A_1 , which provides a set of $2(k+2)+1$ different soliton solutions (with the same mass) characterized by the values of j_3

$$-(k+2) \leq j_3 \leq (k+2) \quad (4.30)$$

Therefore the “renormalized” solitons, i.e. strong coupling particles of the axial model (1.1), form $2k+5 = 2I+1$ - component (i.e., $2(k+3)^{th}$ root of unity) representation of $SU(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ characterized by its charge j_{el} , its isospin

$$I = k + \frac{5}{2} = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_{0,ren}^2} - \frac{1}{2}, \quad i.e., \quad \beta_{0,ren}^2 = \frac{4\pi}{2I+1}$$

and with the mass, given by

$$M^{ax}(j_{el}, I) = \frac{m}{\pi}(2I+1) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi j_{el}}{2I+1}\right) \quad (4.31)$$

Same restrictions for the values of j_{el} and j_3 take place for the vector model solitons and again for each fixed value of $Q_{vec}^{top} = \frac{2\pi j_{el}}{k+3}$ they form $2(k+2)+1$ - multiplets, which components are characterized by the value of topological charge $Q_{vec}^A - Q_{vec}^E = \frac{2\pi j_3}{k+3}$, i.e. again of j_3 .

It should be noted once more that the conclusion concerning the fact that one solitons form certain root of unity representations of the $SU(2)_q \otimes U(1)$ algebra is a consequence of the BS - quantization of the $U(1)$ charge and of the requirement to have (half) -integer isospin. As we have shown in sect.4.3. the later is equivalent of imposing $Z_k \otimes Z_k$ - type of PF -b.c.’s on the fields of the vector model, which are expected to take place in the exact quantum theory. In fact we have demonstrated that the parameters in the soliton solutions α and $C_1 C_2$ can be consistently chosen in order to have $Z_k \otimes Z_k$ - type of b.c.’s for the fields and in the same time the one - solitons to have finite energy (mass) and to realize specific finite dimensional representations of the algebra of the symmetries of the models.

5 Discussion and Further Developments

Among the different allowed integrable perturbations of the $SL(3)$ WZW model, we have chosen to study the one with the larger subgroup of chiral symmetries, i.e. $GL(2)_{left} \otimes GL(2)_{right}$. By further gauge fixing of these chiral symmetries, one derives [19] a pair of T-dual integrable models (1.1) and (1.2) based on the coset “ $SL(3)/GL(2)$ ”, i.e. an integrable perturbation of the gauged “ $SL(3)/GL(2)$ ”-WZW model. They have the remarkable property of being invariant under nonlocal transformations, whose PBs algebra closes into the q -deformed $SL(2)_q \otimes U(1)$. As a consequence, after semiclassical quantization both theories (for imaginary coupling, i.e. $\beta = i\beta_0$) admit topological solitons (with real and positive energy) carrying isospin and $U(1)$ charge and belonging to certain representations of the $SU(2)_q$ as we have shown in Sect. 4. The explicit construction of these solitons allows us to derive their semiclassical particle-like spectrum - masses, $U(1)$ charges and isospin. We further verify that the one - solitons of the T-dual pair of models share the same masses, but with topological charges mapped into the $U(1)$ charge Q and isospin projection I_3 . Thus we have

completed the proof of the T-duality of these IM's [19] on the level of their semiclassical soliton spectrum. Whether further loop corrections keep or destroy their T-duality remains an open problem, which is closely related to the investigation of their quantum integrability.

Given the soliton solutions (3.14) and (3.17) of models (1.1) and (1.2), it is worthwhile to mention the crucial role they play in the construction of the solitons of two closely related models, namely

- Perturbed $SL(3)$ WZW model
- Perturbed $SL(3)$ Parafermions, i.e. $SL(3)/U(1) \otimes U(1)$ model

It is well known [18],[19] that one can derive the Lagrangian (1.1) by first considering an integrable perturbation of the $SL(3)$ - WZW model, such that preserve the invariance under chiral (left and right) $GL(2)$ transformations, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{pert. WZW} = & -\frac{k}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{6} (2\partial R_1 \bar{\partial} R_1 - \partial R_1 \bar{\partial} R_2 - \partial R_2 \bar{\partial} R_1 + 2\partial R_2 \bar{\partial} R_2) \right. \\ & \left. + \partial \tilde{\chi}_1 \bar{\partial} \tilde{\psi}_1 e^{R_1} + \partial \tilde{\chi}_2 \bar{\partial} \tilde{\psi}_2 e^{R_2} + (\partial \tilde{\chi}_3 - \tilde{\chi}_2 \partial \tilde{\chi}_1) (\bar{\partial} \tilde{\psi}_3 - \tilde{\psi}_2 \bar{\partial} \tilde{\psi}_1) e^{R_1+R_2} - V \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where $V = \mu^2 e^{R_2} (\tilde{\psi}_2 \tilde{\chi}_2 + \tilde{\psi}_3 \tilde{\chi}_3)$. As it is explained in the Appendix (see also Sect. 2 of ref.[17]) by gauge fixing the local $GL(2)$ symmetries one can obtain the Lagrangian (1.1). Starting with (5.1) one can gauge fix only a part of the local symmetries, namely $U(1) \otimes U(1)$, and the result is the IM (A.27) (with $b_1 = 0$) having rather complicated action. It represents specific integrable perturbation of the Gepner's parafermions $SL(3)/U(1) \otimes U(1)$ [15]. The most important consequence of the relationship between IM (1.1), (5.1) and (A.27) is that one can construct the soliton solutions of the ungauged IM (5.1) or partially gauged one (A.27) (with $b_1 = 0$) by specific conformal dressing of the solitons of the gauged model (1.1) (see [18]). Namely, the fields $\tilde{\psi}_a, \tilde{\chi}_a, a = 1, 2, 3$ and $R_i, i = 1, 2$ of (5.1) are related to those ψ_i, χ_i of (1.1) by chiral $U(2)$ gauge transformations

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{\psi}_a, \tilde{\chi}_a, \tilde{R}_i) = \bar{h}(\bar{z}) g(\psi_a, \chi_a, R_i) h(z), \quad \tilde{g}, g \in SL(3), \quad h, \bar{h} \in GL(2)$$

The soliton spectrum of the perturbed $SL(3)$ -WZW model (5.1) can be easily derived from the following nonconformal version [18] of the standard conformal coset construction [42]:

$$T_{SL(3)}^{IM} = T_{SL(3)/GL(2)}^{IM} + T_{GL(2)}^{CFT}$$

that relates the stress tensors of the ungauged integrable model (5.1), the gauged one (1.1) and the $U(2)$ -WZW conformal field theory. Similar relations takes place for the $SL(3)/U(1) \otimes U(1)$ (A.27), i.e. independently of the complicated form of its Lagrangian, its solitons (and their semiclassical spectrum) can be easily obtained from the ones of IM (1.1).

We believe that our analysis of the symmetry properties, boundary conditions and the spectrum of the solitons of the considered pair of T-dual integrable models represent an useful input for the further construction of their exact quantum S -matrices. Indeed the most important open problem is the quantum integrability of such models, which due to their involved renormalization (including complicated counterterms, etc.) is not straightforward

and require the explicit construction of the first few nontrivial higher spin (and also fractional spin (see ([8])) quantum conserved charges.

Acknowledgments. The authors acknowledge I. Cabrera-Carnero for her assistance at the early stages of this work. We are grateful to CNPq and FAPESP for financial support.

A Appendix

A.1 Axial and Vector Gauged WZW Models for $G_0/G_0^0 = SL(3)/GL(2)$

Consider the specific left-right coset $H_- \backslash SL(3, R)/H_+$, where $H_{\pm} \subset SL(3, R)$ are chosen as:

$$H_{\pm} = \{E_{\pm\alpha_1}, \lambda_1 \cdot H, \lambda_2 \cdot H\},$$

and $\lambda_i, i = 1, 2$ are the fundamental weights of $SL(3, R)$. In order to derive the action of the corresponding gauged $H_+ \backslash SL(3, R)/H_-$ - WZW model (see for instance Sect. 2 of [11], and references therein) we introduce auxiliary gauge fields ⁵

$$\begin{aligned} A(z, \bar{z}) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{0i}(z, \bar{z}) \lambda_i \cdot H + a_-(z, \bar{z}) E_{-\alpha_1} \in H_-, \\ \bar{A}(z, \bar{z}) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \bar{a}_{0i}(z, \bar{z}) \lambda_i \cdot H + \bar{a}_+(z, \bar{z}) E_{\alpha_1} \in H_+. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Then the action [27], [34]:

$$\begin{aligned} S(g, A, \bar{A}) &= S_{WZW}(g) - \frac{k}{2\pi} \int dz d\bar{z} \text{Tr}(\eta A \bar{\partial} g g^{-1} \\ &\quad + \bar{A} g^{-1} \partial g + \eta A g \bar{A} g^{-1} + A_0 \bar{A}_0) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

is invariant under the following $H_{\pm}$ local gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned} g' &= h_- g h_+, & h_- &= \gamma_0(z, \bar{z}) \gamma_-(z, \bar{z}), & h_+ &= \gamma_+(z, \bar{z}) \gamma'_0(z, \bar{z}), \\ \gamma'_0 &= e^{\sum \alpha'_{0i} \lambda_i \cdot H}, & \gamma_{\pm} &= e^{\alpha_{\pm} E_{\pm\alpha_1}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= h_- A h_-^{-1} - \eta \partial h_- h_-^{-1}, & A'_0 &= A_0 - \eta \gamma_0^{-1} \partial \gamma_0, \\ \bar{A}' &= h_+^{-1} \bar{A} h_+ - h_+^{-1} \bar{\partial} h_+, & \bar{A}'_0 &= \bar{A}_0 - \gamma_0^{-1} \bar{\partial} \gamma_0, \end{aligned}$$

⁵Notice that our axial and vector models are obtained from two subsequent gaugings of the WZW model. The first involves the nilpotent subalgebras [34] generated by $N_{\pm} = \{E_{\pm\alpha_1}\}$. The second [27] by the Cartan subalgebra of $SL(2) \otimes U(1)$. Since the integration over the auxiliary fields are independent of each other, the combination of both gaugings leads effectively to (A.2)

where $\eta = 1, \gamma'_0 = \gamma_0$ for axial gauging and $\eta = -1, \gamma'_0 = \gamma_0^{-1}$ for vector gauging. Notice that the auxiliary fields A and $\bar{A}$ in (A.2) act as Lagrange multipliers describing 6 constraints, namely, $J_{h_i} = \bar{J}_{h_i} = J_{\alpha_1} = \bar{J}_{\alpha_1} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2$. The Poisson bracket relations,

$$\begin{aligned} [J_{h_i}(x), J_{\alpha_1}(y)] &= K_{1,i} J_{\alpha_1}(x) \delta(x-y), \\ [\bar{J}_{h_i}(x), \bar{J}_{\alpha_1}(y)] &= -K_{1,i} \bar{J}_{\alpha_1}(x) \delta(x-y) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where K_{ij} is the Cartan matrix of $sl(3)$, indicate that, together with the constraints $J_{\alpha_1} = \bar{J}_{\alpha_1} = 0$ the gauge fixing conditions (corresponding to their conjugate momenta), $J_{-\alpha_1} = \bar{J}_{\alpha_1} = 0$ should also be implemented. These gauge fixing conditions are consistent with

$$\begin{aligned} [J_{\alpha_1}(x), J_{-\alpha_1}(y)] &= J_{h_1}(x) \delta(x-y) + k \delta'(x-y), \\ [\bar{J}_{\alpha_1}(x), \bar{J}_{-\alpha_1}(y)] &= \bar{J}_{h_1}(x) \delta(x-y) + k \delta'(x-y) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Moreover, the constraints $J_{h_i} = \bar{J}_{h_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2$ do not require additional gauge fixing condition since

$$\begin{aligned} [J_{h_i}(x), J_{h_j}(y)] &= k \eta_{ij} \delta'(x-y), \\ [\bar{J}_{h_i}(x), \bar{J}_{h_j}(y)] &= k \eta_{ij} \delta'(x-y) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

i.e. the constraints appear as gauge fixing conditions to each other.

It is convenient to introduce the following Gauss parametrization of the group element g_0 of $SL(3, R)$:

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 &= e^{\tilde{\chi}_1 E_{-\alpha_1}} e^{\tilde{\chi}_2 E_{-\alpha_2} + \tilde{\chi}_3 E_{-\alpha_3}} e^{\phi_1 h_1 + \phi_2 h_2} e^{\tilde{\psi}_2 E_{\alpha_2} + \tilde{\psi}_3 E_{\alpha_3}} e^{\tilde{\psi}_1 E_{\alpha_1}} \\ &= e^{\tilde{\chi}_1 E_{-\alpha_1}} e^{\frac{1}{2}(R_1 \lambda_1 \cdot H + R_2 \lambda_2 \cdot H)} \left(g_{0,ax}^f \right) e^{\frac{1}{2}(R_1 \lambda_1 \cdot H + R_2 \lambda_2 \cdot H)} e^{\tilde{\psi}_1 E_{\alpha_1}} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where $\phi_1 h_1 + \phi_2 h_2 = R_1 \lambda_1 \cdot H + R_2 \lambda_2 \cdot H$ and

$$g_{0,ax}^f = e^{\chi_1 E_{-\alpha_2} + \chi_2 E_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}} e^{\psi_1 E_{\alpha_2} + \psi_2 E_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1(z, \bar{z}) &= \tilde{\chi}_3 e^{\frac{1}{2}(R_1 + R_2)}, \quad \psi_1(z, \bar{z}) = \tilde{\psi}_3 e^{\frac{1}{2}(R_1 + R_2)}, \\ \chi_2(z, \bar{z}) &= \tilde{\chi}_2 e^{\frac{1}{2}R_2}, \quad \psi_2(z, \bar{z}) = \tilde{\psi}_2 e^{\frac{1}{2}R_2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

appropriate for the case of axial gauging. For the vector gauging we start with the same group element,

$$g_0 = e^{\tilde{\chi}_1 E_{-\alpha_1}} e^{\frac{1}{2}(u_1 \lambda_1 \cdot H + u_2 \lambda_2 \cdot H)} \left(g_{0,vec}^f \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u_1 \lambda_1 \cdot H + u_2 \lambda_2 \cdot H)} e^{\tilde{\psi}_1 E_{\alpha_1}} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

however now the representative of the factor group element is chosen as

$$g_{0,vec}^f = e^{-t_2 E_{-\alpha_2}} e^{-t_1 E_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}} e^{\phi_1 h_1 + \phi_2 h_2} e^{t_2 E_{\alpha_2}} e^{t_1 E_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

and u_1, u_2 are defined as follows

$$\tilde{\chi}_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}u_2} = -t_2, \quad \tilde{\psi}_2 e^{\frac{1}{2}u_2} = t_2, \quad \tilde{\chi}_3 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u_1 + u_2)} = -t_1, \quad \tilde{\psi}_3 e^{\frac{1}{2}(u_1 + u_2)} = t_1$$

The factor group elements g_{0ax}^f and g_{0vec} in (A.9) and (A.12) are constructed to describe how the abelian gaugings are implemented, axial or vector. Due to the $H_{\pm}$ -invariance we realize that $S(g_0, A, \bar{A}) = S(g_{0ax,vec}^f, A', \bar{A}')$. The corresponding effective actions (1.1) for the axial model and (1.2) (with $\mu = 0$) for the vector model are obtained by integrating out the auxiliary fields $a'_{0i}, a'_{\pm}$ in the partition function

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}g_{0,ax,vec}^f \mathcal{D}A' \mathcal{D}\bar{A}' e^{-S(g_{0,ax,vec}^f, A', \bar{A}')}.$$

(see ref. [19] for details).

A.2 WZW- currents

In the above parametrization (A.8) - (A.9) the $SL(3, R)$ -WZW chiral currents ($J = g_0^{-1} \partial g_0$, $\bar{J} = \bar{\partial} g_0 g_0^{-1}$, $\bar{\partial} J = \partial \bar{J} = 0$),

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^2 J_{\lambda_i \cdot H} h_i + \sum_{\alpha} (J_{\alpha} E_{-\alpha} + J_{-\alpha} E_{\alpha}), \quad \alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$$

have the following explicit form:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} &= (\partial \tilde{\chi}_3 - \tilde{\chi}_2 \partial \tilde{\chi}_1) e^{R_1 + R_2}, \\ J_{\alpha_2} &= (\partial \tilde{\chi}_2 + \tilde{\psi}_1 J_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} e^{R_1 - 2R_2}) e^{-R_1 + 2R_2}, \\ J_{\alpha_1} &= \partial \tilde{\chi}_1 e^{R_1} - \tilde{\psi}_2 J_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \\ J_{-\alpha_1} &= \partial \tilde{\psi}_1 - \tilde{\psi}_1^2 \partial \tilde{\chi}_1 e^{R_1} + \partial \tilde{\chi}_2 (\tilde{\psi}_1 \tilde{\psi}_2 - \tilde{\psi}_3) e^{R_2} \\ &\quad + J_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} (\tilde{\psi}_1 \tilde{\psi}_2 - \tilde{\psi}_3) \tilde{\psi}_1 + \tilde{\psi}_1 \partial R_1, \\ J_{-\alpha_2} &= -\tilde{\psi}_2^2 \partial \tilde{\chi}_2 e^{-R_1 + 2R_2} - \tilde{\psi}_2 \partial R_1 + \partial \tilde{\psi}_2 + 2\tilde{\psi}_2 \partial R_2, \\ J_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} &= \partial \tilde{\psi}_3 + \tilde{\psi}_3 (\partial R_1 + \partial R_2) - \tilde{\psi}_3^2 J_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} - \tilde{\psi}_2 \tilde{\psi}_3 \partial \tilde{\chi}_2 e^{-R_1 + 2R_2} - \tilde{\psi}_1 J_{-\alpha_2}, \\ J_{\lambda_1 \cdot H} &= \frac{1}{3} (2\partial R_1 + \partial R_2) - \tilde{\psi}_1 \partial \tilde{\chi}_1 e^{R_1} + (\tilde{\psi}_1 \tilde{\psi}_2 - \tilde{\psi}_3) J_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \\ J_{\lambda_2 \cdot H} &= \frac{1}{3} (\partial R_1 + 2\partial R_2) - \tilde{\psi}_2 \partial \tilde{\chi}_2 e^{R_2} - \tilde{\psi}_3 J_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

and $\bar{J} = J(\partial \rightarrow \bar{\partial}, \tilde{\psi}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_j, j = 1, 2, 3)$. Note that both the axial and the vector gauged WZW models corresponds to imposing the following constraints on the $SL(3, R)$ currents:

$$J_{\pm \alpha_1} = \bar{J}_{\pm \alpha_1} = 0, \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$J_{\lambda_i \cdot H} = \bar{J}_{\lambda_i \cdot H} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (\text{A.15})$$

The explicit form of constraints (A.15) in the parametrization (A.8) and (A.9) is as follows (see [17]):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial R_1 &= \frac{\psi_1 \partial \chi_1}{\Delta} (1 + \frac{3}{2} \psi_2 \chi_2) - \frac{\psi_2 \partial \chi_2}{\Delta} (\Delta_2 + \frac{3}{2} \psi_1 \chi_1), \\ \partial R_2 &= \frac{\psi_1 \partial \chi_1}{\Delta} + \frac{\psi_2 \partial \chi_2}{\Delta} (2\Delta_2 + \frac{3}{2} \psi_1 \chi_1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\partial}R_1 &= \frac{\chi_1 \bar{\partial}\psi_1}{\Delta} (1 + \frac{3}{2}\psi_2\chi_2) - \frac{\chi_2 \bar{\partial}\psi_2}{\Delta} (\Delta_2 + \frac{3}{2}\psi_1\chi_1), \\
\bar{\partial}R_2 &= \frac{\chi_1 \bar{\partial}\psi_1}{\Delta} + \frac{\chi_2 \bar{\partial}\psi_2}{\Delta} (2\Delta_2 + \frac{3}{2}\psi_1\chi_1)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

where $\Delta = (1 + \psi_2\chi_2)^2 + \psi_1\chi_1(1 + \frac{3}{4}\psi_2\chi_2)$, $\Delta_2 = 1 + \psi_2\chi_2$.

Eqns. (A.16) can be considered as definition of the nonlocal fields R_i of the axial model. The constraints (A.14) are given by eqn. (2.10) with $\tilde{\chi}_1 = \tilde{\chi}$, $\tilde{\psi}_1 = \tilde{\psi}$.

A.3 Axial Gauged WZW Model for $G_0/G_0^0 = SL(3)/U(1) \otimes U(1)$

In order to derive the axial gauged action for the $SL(3)/U(1) \otimes U(1)$ - WZW model we shall employ the same arguments as in the case of the $G_0/G_0^0 = SL(3)/GL(2)$ - coset model discussed above, but now with

$$H_+ = \{\lambda_1 \cdot H, \lambda_2 \cdot H\}$$

and consider the gauged WZW action given by (A.3) with $\eta = +1$. Following the arguments of refs. [16] [11] we define

$$A = A_0 = a_{01}\lambda_1 \cdot H + a_{02}\lambda_2 \cdot H, \quad \bar{A} = \bar{A}_0 = \bar{a}_{01}\lambda_1 \cdot H + \bar{a}_{02}\lambda_2 \cdot H \tag{A.17}$$

and

$$g_{0,ax}^f = e^{\chi_3 E_{-\alpha_1} + \chi_2 E_{-\alpha_2} + \chi_1 E_{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}} e^{\psi_3 E_{\alpha_1} + \psi_2 E_{\alpha_2} + \psi_1 E_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}, \tag{A.18}$$

Since the action (A.3) is invariant under transformations (A.4), we find $S(g_0, A, \bar{A}) = S(g_{0,ax}^f, A', \bar{A}')$. By direct calculation we find

$$Tr(A_0 \bar{\partial} g_0^f (g_0^f)^{-1} + \bar{A}_0 (g_0^f)^{-1} \partial g_0^f + A_0 g_0^f \bar{A}_0 (g_0^f)^{-1} + A_0 \bar{A}_0) = \bar{a} M a + \bar{N} a + \bar{a} N \tag{A.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{3} + \psi_3\chi_3 + (\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_2\psi_3)(\chi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\chi_2\chi_3) & -\frac{2}{3} - \psi_3\chi_3 + \chi_2\chi_3(\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_2\psi_3) \\ -\frac{2}{3} - \psi_3\chi_3 + \psi_2\psi_3(\chi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\chi_2\chi_3) & \frac{4}{3} + \psi_3\chi_3 + \psi_2\chi_2 + \psi_1\chi_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
a &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{01} \\ a_{02} \end{pmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} N_1 \\ N_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a}_{01} & \bar{a}_{02} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{N} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{N}_1 & \bar{N}_2 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}_1 &= -\bar{\partial}\psi_1(\chi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\chi_2\chi_3) - \frac{1}{2}\psi_3\bar{\partial}\psi_2(\chi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\chi_2\chi_3) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\partial}\psi_3(\chi_3 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_2(\chi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\chi_2\chi_3)), \\
\bar{N}_2 &= -\bar{\partial}\psi_1\chi_2\chi_3 - \bar{\partial}\psi_2\chi_2(1 + \frac{1}{2}\chi_3\psi_3) + \bar{\partial}\psi_3\chi_3(1 + \frac{1}{2}\psi_2\chi_2), \\
N_1 &= -\partial\chi_1(\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_2\psi_3) - \frac{1}{2}\chi_3\partial\chi_2(\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_2\psi_3) - \partial\chi_3(\psi_3 - \frac{1}{2}\chi_2(\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_2\psi_3)), \\
N_2 &= -\psi_3\psi_2\partial\chi_1 - \psi_2\partial\chi_2(1 + \frac{1}{2}\psi_3\chi_3) + \psi_3\partial\chi_3(1 + \frac{1}{2}\psi_2\chi_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.21}$$

Integrating over the matrix valued auxiliary fields $\bar{a}, a$ we arrive at the following effective Lagrangian :

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial \chi_i \bar{\partial} \psi_j}{24\Delta} \Delta_{ij} \quad (\text{A.22})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{11} &= 32(1 + \chi_3 \psi_3 + \chi_2 \psi_2 + \frac{3}{4} \chi_2 \psi_2 \chi_3 \psi_3), \\ \Delta_{12} &= 16\psi_3(1 + \chi_3 \psi_3) - 8\chi_2(2\psi_1 + 3\chi_3 \psi_1 \psi_3 + \psi_2 \psi_3) \\ \Delta_{13} &= -16\psi_2(1 + \chi_2 \psi_2) + 6\chi_3^2 \psi_3(2 + \psi_2)(2\psi_1 + \psi_2 \psi_3) \\ &\quad + 4\chi_3 \psi_1(4 + 4\psi_2 + 3\chi_2 \psi_2^2) + 2\psi_2 \chi_3 \psi_3(4 - 3\chi_2 \psi_2(2 + \psi_2)), \\ \Delta_{21} &= -16\chi_1 \psi_2 + 16\chi_3^2 \psi_3 - 8\chi_3(-2 + \chi_2 \psi_2 + 3\chi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3), \\ \Delta_{23} &= 8\chi_1 \psi_2^2 + 4\chi_3 \psi_2(6 + 6\chi_1 \psi_1 + \psi_2(2 + \chi_2)) \\ &\quad + 3\chi_3^3 \psi_3(2 + \psi_2)(2\psi_1 + \psi_2 \psi_3) + 2\psi_1 \chi_3^2(4 + (4 - 6\chi_2)\psi_2 - 3\chi_2 \psi_2^2) \\ &\quad + \psi_2 \psi_3 \chi_3^2(28 + 6(2 + \chi_2)\psi_2 + 3\chi_2 \psi_2^2), \\ \Delta_{22} &= 32 - 8\chi_2 \chi_3 \psi_1 + 40\chi_3 \psi_3 + 8\chi_2 \chi_3 \psi_2 \psi_3 + 8\chi_3^2 \psi_3^2 - 8\chi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3 + 8\chi_1 \psi_1(4 + 3\chi_3 \psi_3), \\ \Delta_{32} &= -8\chi_1 \psi_3^2 + 4\chi_2 \psi_3(2 + 6\chi_1 \psi_1 + \chi_3 \psi_3) + 4\chi_2^2(2\psi_1 + \psi_2 \psi_3), \\ \Delta_{31} &= -16\chi_2^2 \psi_2 - 16\chi_1 \psi_3 - 4\chi_2(4 - 2\chi_3 \psi_3 + 6\chi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3), \\ \Delta_{33} &= 8\chi_1 \psi_1(4 + 3\chi_2 \psi_2) + 8\chi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3 + 32 + 8\chi_3(2 + \psi_2) \psi_3 \\ &\quad + 8\chi_2^2 \psi_2^2 + 3\chi_2^2 \psi_2 \chi_3(2 + \psi_2)(-2\psi_1 + \psi_2 \psi_3) \\ &\quad + 40\chi_2 \psi_2 + 3\chi_2 \chi_3^2 \psi_3(2 + \psi_2)(2\psi_1 + \psi_2 \psi_3) \\ &\quad - 8\chi_3 \chi_2 \psi_1(1 + \psi_2) + 4\chi_3 \chi_2 \psi_3 \psi_2(8 + 3\psi_2) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.23})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \frac{4}{3} + \frac{4}{3}(\psi_1 \chi_1 + \psi_2 \chi_2 + \psi_3 \chi_3) + \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1 \chi_2 \chi_3 + \chi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3)(\psi_3 \chi_3 - \psi_2 \chi_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \psi_2 \chi_2 \psi_3 \chi_3(\psi_3 \chi_3 + \psi_2 \chi_2) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.24})$$

A potential invariant under the $U(1) \otimes U(1)$ transformations generated by H_+ may be written in terms of the grade ± 1 constant operators $\epsilon_{\pm}$ as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} V = Tr \left(\epsilon_+ g_0^f \epsilon_- (g_0^f)^{-1} \right) &= (b_1^2 \lambda_1^2 + 2b_1 b_2 \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 + b_2^2 \lambda_2^2) + b_1^2 \psi_2 \chi_2 + b_2^2 \psi_3 \chi_3 \\ &\quad + (b_1 + b_2)^2 (\chi_1 - \frac{1}{2} \chi_2 \chi_3) (\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2} \psi_2 \psi_3) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.25})$$

where

$$\epsilon_{\pm} = b_1 \lambda_1 \cdot H^{(\pm)} + b_2 \lambda_2 \cdot H^{(\pm)} \quad (\text{A.26})$$

such that the non - conformal model can be described by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial \chi_i \bar{\partial} \psi_j}{24\Delta} \Delta_{ij} - V \quad (\text{A.27})$$

It represents an integrable perturbation of the non-compact Gepner PF's, i.e. of the gauged $SL(3)/U(1) \otimes U(1)$ - WZW model and although it has rather complicated form, as explained in Sect.6 its soliton solutions(for $b_1 = 0$) can be easily obtained from the ones of the completely gauged IM(1.1).

References

- [1] A. Zamolodchikov, Sov. Phys. JETP Lett. **46**(1987)160; *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A3* (1988) 743; *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A4* (1989) 4235;
A. Zamolodchikov in "Integrable Systems in QFT and Statistical Mechanics", Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **19**(1989)641
- [2] G. Mussardo, Phys. Rep. **218** (1992) 215 and references therein
- [3] P. Christe and G. Mussardo, *Nucl. Phys. B330* (1990) 465 ; *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A5* (1990) 4581;
T. Hollowood and P.Mansfield, *Phys. Lett. 226B* (1989) 73
- [4] V. Fateev and A. Zamolodchikov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A5* (1990) 1025
- [5] G. Sotkov and C.J. Zhu, *Phys. Lett. 229B* (1989) 391;
G. Sotkov and G. Mussardo, "S-matrix bootstrap and minimal integrable models", in Recent Developments in Conformal Field Theory, Trieste Conference, October 1989, Eds. J-B. Zuber et. all. World Scientific, p. 231-270.
- [6] T. Eguchi and S.K. Yang, *Phys. Lett. 224B* (1989) 373
- [7] H. de Vega and V. Fateev, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A6* (1991) 3221
- [8] V. Fateev *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A6* (1991) 2109
- [9] V.A. Brazhnikov, *Nucl. Phys. B501* (1997) 685, hep-th/9612040
- [10] D. Gepner, *Nucl. Phys. B290* (1987) 10
- [11] J.F. Gomes, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, *Ann. of Phys. 274*(1999)289, hep-th/9803234;
- [12] A. N. Leznov, M. V. Saveliev, Group Theoretical Methods for Integration of Nonlinear Dynamical Systems, Progress in Physics, Vol. 15 (1992), Birkhauser Verlag, Berlin; A. N. Leznov and M. V. Saveliev, *Commun. Math. Phys. 89* (1983) 59
- [13] L.A. Ferreira, J.L. Miramontes, J. Sanchez Guillen, *Nucl. Phys. B449* (1995) 631; *J. Math. Phys. 38* (1997) 882
T.Hollowood, J.L.Miramontes and Q-Han Park, *Nucl. Phys. B445* (1995) 451
- [14] F. Lund, *Phys. Rev. Lett. 38* (1977) 1175; B.S. Getmanov, *JETP Lett. 25*(1977)119;
F. Lund and T. Regge, *Phys. Rev. D14* (1976) 1524

- [15] C.R. Fernandez-Pousa, M.V. Gallas, T.J. Hollowood and J.L. Miramontes, *Nucl. Phys.* **B484** (1997) 609; *Nucl. Phys.* **B499** (1997) 673
C.R. Fernandez-Pousa and J.L. Miramontes, *Nucl. Phys.* **B518** (1998) 745
- [16] J.F. Gomes, E.P. Gueuvoghlanian, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, *Nucl. Phys.* **B606** (2001) 441, hep-th/0007169; *Nucl. Phys.* **B598** (2001) 615 , hep-th/0011187,

I. Cabrera-Carnero, J.F. Gomes, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, *Nucl. Phys.* **B634** (2002) 433 , hep-th/0201047
- [17] I. Cabrera-Carnero, J.F. Gomes, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, *J. Phys.* **A37**(2004)6375, hep-th/0403042;
- [18] J.F. Gomes, E.P. Gueuvoghlanian, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, *JHEP* 0207:001,2002, hep-th/0205228
- [19] J.F. Gomes, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, *J. Physics* **A37** (2004) 4629, hep-th/0402091
- [20] H.J. de Vega and J.M. Maillet, *Phys. Rev.* **D28** (1983) 1441
- [21] V. Fateev, *Nucl. Phys.* **B479** (1996) 594 ; *Nucl. Phys.* **B473** (1996) 509
- [22] N. Dorey and T.J. Hollowood, *Nucl. Phys.* **B440** (1995) 215;
Q-T Park and J. Shin, *Phys. Lett.* **359B** (1995) 125
- [23] J.F. Gomes, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, *Phys. Lett.* **435B** (1998) 49, hep-th/9803112,
- [24] R.F. Dashen, B. Hasslacher and A. Neveu, *Phys. Rev.* **D10** (1974) 4130; *Phys. Rev.* **D11** (1975) 3424;
R. Jackiw and G. Woo, *Phys. Rev.* **D12** (1975) 1643
- [25] G. Mussardo, V. Riva and G. Sotkov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B670** (2003) 464 , hep-th/0307125; *Nucl. Phys.* **B687** (2004) 189 , hep-th/0402179
- [26] T.Hollowood, *Phys. Lett.* **300B** (1993) 73
- [27] K. Gawedzki and A. Kupiainen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B320** (1989) 625
- [28] J.F. Gomes, E.P. Gueuvoghlanian, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, *Ann. of Phys.* **289** (2001) 232, hep-th/0007116, see also “ Torsionless T Selfdual Affine NA Toda Models”,Proc. of the IV International Wigner Symposium, Istanbul, Ed. M. Arik et. al., hep-th/0002173;
J.F. Gomes, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, Proc. of Workshop on Integrable Theories, Solitons and Duality, Sao Paulo, Brazil, JHEP, unesp2002/045, hep-th/0212046
- [29] D. Bernard and A.LeClair, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **142** (1991) 99

- [30] J.H. Horne and G.T. Horowitz, *Nucl. Phys.* **B368** (1992) 444,
P. Ginsparg and F. Quevedo, *Nucl. Phys.* **B385** (1992) 527
E. Kiritsis, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 2871
A. Tseytlin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B399** (1993) 601; *Nucl. Phys.* **B411** (1994) 509; *Class. Quant. Grav.* **12** (1995) 2365;
- [31] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume and Y. Lozano, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl* **41** (1995) 1;
E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume, J.L.F. Barbon and Y. Lozano, *Nucl. Phys.* **B415** (1994) 71
- [32] T. Buscher, *Phys. Lett.* **159B** (1985) 127, *Phys. Lett.* **194B** (1987) 59, *Phys. Lett.* **201B** (1988) 466
- [33] A. Polyakov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 5** (1990) 833
- [34] J. Balog, L. Feher, L. O’Raifeartaigh, P. Forgas, A. Wipf, *Ann. of Phys.* **203** (1990) 76;
L. Feher, L. O’Raifeartaigh, P. Ruelle, I. Tsutsui and A. Wipf, *Phys. Rep.* **222**(1992)1
- [35] H. Aratyn, L.A. Ferreira, J.F. Gomes and A.H. Zimerman, *Phys. Lett* **B 254** (1991) 372;
L.A. Ferreira, J.F. Gomes, A. Schwimmer and A.H. Zimerman, *Phys. Lett.* **274B** (1992) 65
L. Bonora, M. Martellini and Y-Z. Zhang, *Phys. Lett.* **253B** (1991) 373
- [36] J. L. Miramontes, “T-duality in Massive Integrable Field Theories: The Homogeneous and Complex sine-Gordon Models” hep-th/0408119
- [37] J.F. Gomes, E.P. Gueuvoghlianian, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, “Classical Integrability of Non Abelian Affine Toda Models, to appear in the Proc. of the XXIII International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, Ed. G. Pogosyan et. al., Dubna (2000), hep-th/0010257
- [38] J.F. Gomes, F.E.M. da Silveira, G.M. Sotkov and A.H. Zimerman, “Classical and Quantum V-algebras” hep-th/9810011, Proc. of IV International Conference on Non Associative Algebras and Applications Ed. R. Costa et.al, Marcel Dekker, p. 417
- [39] K.Bardakci, M.Crescimanno and E.Rabinovici, *Nucl. Phys.* **B344** (1990) 344
- [40] H.C. Liao, D. Olive and N. Turok, *Phys. Lett.* **298B** (1993) 95
- [41] A. A. Tseytlin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B399** (1993) 601
- [42] P. Goddard, A. Kent and D. Olive, *Phys. Lett.* **152B** (1985) 88; *Commun. Math. Phys.* **103** (1986) 105